arXiv:math/0412008v1 [math.AG] 1 Dec 2004

Non-Abelian L-Functions For Number Fields

Lin WENG

Graduate School of Mathematics, Kyushu University, Japan

In this paper we introduce non-abelian zeta functions and more generally non-abelian L-functions for number fields, based on geo-arithmetical cohomology, geo-arithmetical truncation and Langlands' theory of Eisenstein series. More precisely, in Chapter I, we start with a new yet natural geo-arithmetical cohomology and a geo-arithmetical stability in order to define genuine non-abelian zeta functions for number fields. Then, in Chapter II, using examples for the field of rationals, we explain a relation between these non-abelian zeta functions and Eisenstein series, and point out where non-abelian contributions of such non-abelian zetas come. After that, in Chapter III, first, we give a more general geo-arithmetical truncation, and compare it with Arthur's analytic truncation. Then, we define our general non-abelian L-functions as the integration of Eisesntein series associated to L^2-automorphic forms over the moduli spaces obtained from just mentioned general geo-arithmetic truncations, and to expose basic properties for these non-abelian L-functions. In Chapter IV, we, along with the line of Rankin-Selberg(-Langlands-Arthur) method, calculate the so-called abelian part of our non-abelian L-functions. Finally, in an Appendix, we establish a non-abelian class field theory for function fields over complex numbers to justify our approach to non-abelian Reciprocity Law.

Chapter I. Non-Abelian Zeta Functions

I.1. A Geo-Arithmetical Cohomology

(I.1.1) Cohomology Groups

Let F be a number field with discriminant Δ_F. Denote its (normalized) absolute values by S_F, and write $S_F = S_{\rm fin} \dot{\cup} S_\infty$, where S_∞ denotes the collection of all archimedean valuations. For simplicity, we often use v (resp. σ) to denote elements in $S_{\rm fin}$ (resp. S_∞).

Denote by $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{A}_F$ the ring of adeles of F, by $\mathrm{GL}_r(\mathbb{A})$ the rank r general linear group over $\mathbb{A}$, and write $\mathbb{A} := \mathbb{A}_{\rm fin} \oplus \mathbb{A}_\infty$ and $\mathrm{GL}_r(\mathbb{A}) := \mathrm{GL}_r(\mathbb{A})_{\rm fin} \times \mathrm{GL}_r(\mathbb{A})_\infty$ according to their finite and infinite parts.

For any $g = (g_{\rm fin} : g_\infty) = (g_v; g_\sigma) \in \mathrm{GL}_r(\mathbb{A})$, define the injective morphism $i(g) := i(g_\infty) : F^r \to \mathbb{A}^r$ by $f \mapsto (f; g_\sigma \cdot f)$. Let $F^r(g) := \mathrm{Im}\big(i(g)\big)$ and set

$$\mathbb{A}^r(g) := \left\{ (a_v; a_\sigma) \in \mathbb{A}^r : \begin{array}{r} \text{(i) } g_v(a_v) \in \mathcal{O}_v^r,\ \forall v; \\ \text{(ii) } \exists f \in F^r \text{ such that} \\ \text{(ii.a) } g_v(f) \in \mathcal{O}_v^r,\ \forall v; \quad \text{(ii.b) } (f; a_\sigma) = i(g_\infty)(f) \end{array} \right\}$$

Then we have the following 9-diagram with exact columns and rows:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & 0 \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[d] & \\
0 \arrow[r] & \mathbb{A}^r(g) \cap F^r(g) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathbb{A}^r(g) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathbb{A}^r(g)/\mathbb{A}^r(g) \cap F^r(g) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & F^r(g) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathbb{A}^r \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathbb{A}^r/F^r(g) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & F^r(g)/\mathbb{A}^r(g) \cap F^r(g) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathbb{A}^r/\mathbb{A}^r(g) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathbb{A}^r/\mathbb{A}^r(g) + F^r(g) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
 & 0 & 0 & 0. &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Motivated by this and Weil's adelic cohomology theory for divisors over algebraic curves, (see e.g, [Se2] and [W2]), we introduce the following

Definition. *For any* $g \in GL_r(\mathbb{A})$*, define its 0-th and 1-st geo-arithmetical cohomology groups by*

$$H^0(\mathbb{A}_F, g) := \mathbb{A}^r(g) \cap F^r(g), \qquad \text{and} \qquad H^1(\mathbb{A}_F, g) := \mathbb{A}^r / \mathbb{A}^r(g) + F^r(g).$$

Proposition 1. (Serre Duality=Pontrjagin Duality) *As locally compact groups,*

$$H^1(\mathbb{A}_F, g) \simeq \widehat{H^0(\mathbb{A}_F, \kappa_F \otimes g^{-1})}.$$

Here κ_F *denotes an idelic dualizing element of* F*, and* $\hat{}$ *the Pontrjagin dual. In particular,* H^0 *is discrete and* H^1 *is compact.*

Remark. For $v \in S_{\mathrm{fin}}$, denote by ∂_v the local different of F_v, the v-completion of F, and by $\mathcal{O}_v$ the valuation ring with π_v a local parameter. Then $\partial_v =: \pi_v^{\mathrm{ord}_v(\partial_v)} \cdot \mathcal{O}_v$. We call $\kappa_F := (\partial_v^{\mathrm{ord}_v(\partial_v)}; 1) \in \mathbb{I}_F := \mathrm{GL}_1(\mathbb{A})$ an idelic dualizing element of F.

Proof. As usual, fix a basic character χ on $\mathbb{A}$ by $\chi =: (\chi_v^{(r)}; \chi_\sigma^{(r)})$ where $\chi_v := \lambda_v \circ \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}_v}^{F_v}$ with $\lambda_v : \mathbb{Q}_v \to \mathbb{Q}_v/\mathbb{Z}_v \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$, and $\chi_\sigma := \lambda_\infty \circ \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{R}}^{F_\sigma}$ with $\lambda_\infty : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$. Then the pairing $(x, y) \mapsto e^{2\pi i \chi(x \cdot y)}$ induces natural isomorphisms $\widehat{\mathbb{A}^r} \simeq \mathbb{A}^r$ (as locally compact groups) and $(F^r)^\perp \simeq F^r$ (as discrete subgroups). With this, a direct local calculation, see e.g, [Ta], shows that $\big(\mathbb{A}^r(g)\big)^\perp = \mathbb{A}^r(\kappa_F \otimes g^{-1})$ and $\big(F^r(g)\big)^\perp \simeq F^r(\kappa_F \otimes g^{-1})$. This completes the proof since

$$\Big(\mathbb{A}^r(g) \cap F^r(g)\Big)^\perp = \big(\mathbb{A}^r(g)\big)^\perp + \big(F^r(g)\big)^\perp.$$

(I.1.2) Geo-Arithmetical Counts

Motivated by the Pontrjagin duality and the fact that the dimension of a vector space is equal to the dimension of its dual, one basic principal we adopt in counting locally compact groups is the following:

Counting Axiom. *If* $\#_{\mathrm{ga}}$ *counts a certain class of locally compact groups* G*, then* $\#_{\mathrm{ga}}(G) = \#_{\mathrm{ga}}(\hat{G})$.

Practically, our counts of arithmetic cohomology groups are based on the Fourier inverse formula, or more accurately, the Plancherel formula in Fourier analysis over locally compact groups. (See e.g, [Fo].)

While any reasonable test function on $\mathbb{A}^r$ would do, as a continuation of a more traditional mathematics and also for simplicity, we set $f := \prod_v f_v \cdot \prod_\sigma f_\sigma$, where

(i) f_v is the characteristic function of $\mathcal{O}_v^r$;

(ii.a) $f_\sigma(x_\sigma) := e^{-\pi |x_\sigma|^2/2}$ if σ is real; and

(ii.b) $f_\sigma(x_\sigma) := e^{-\pi |x_\sigma|^2}$ if σ is complex.

Moreover, we take the following normalization for the Haar measure dx, which we call *standard*, on $\mathbb{A}$: locally for v, dx is the measure for which $\mathcal{O}_v$ gets the measure $N(\partial_v)^{-1/2}$;

while for σ real (resp. complex), dx is the ordinary Lebesgue measure (resp. twice the ordinary Lebesgue measure).

Definition. *(1) The geo-arithmetical counts of the 0-th and the 1-st cohomology groups for* $g \in GL_r(\mathbb{A})$ *are defined to be*

$$\#_{\mathrm{ga}}\big(H^0(\mathbb{A}_F, g)\big) := \#_{\mathrm{ga}}\Big(H^0(\mathbb{A}_F, g); f, dx\Big) := \int_{H^0(\mathbb{A}_F, g)} |f(x)|^2 dx;$$

$$\#_{\mathrm{ga}}\big(H^1(\mathbb{A}_F, g)\big) := \#_{\mathrm{ga}}\Big(H^1(\mathbb{A}_F, g); \hat{f}, d\xi\Big) := \int_{H^1(\mathbb{A}_F, g)} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi.$$

Here dx *denotes (the restriction of) the standard Haar measure on* $\mathbb{A}$*,* $d\xi$ *(the induced quotient measure from) the dual measure (with respect to* χ*), and* $\hat{f}$ *the corresponding Fourier transform of* f*;*

(2) The 0-th and the 1-st geo-arithmetical cohomologies of $g \in GL_r(\mathbb{A})$ *are defined to be*

$$h^0(\mathbb{A}_F, g) := \log\Big(\#_{\mathrm{ga}}\big(H^0(\mathbb{A}_F, g)\big)\Big) \quad \text{and} \quad h^1(\mathbb{A}_F, g) := \log\Big(\#_{\mathrm{ga}}\big(H^1(\mathbb{A}_F, g)\big)\Big).$$

Remark. While the groups $H^0(\mathbb{A}_F, g)$ and $H^1(\mathbb{A}_F, g)$ do depend on $g \in \mathrm{GL}_r(\mathbb{A})$, the counts $h^0(\mathbb{A}_F, g)$ and $h^1(\mathbb{A}_F, g)$ depend only on the class of $g \in \mathrm{GL}_r(F)\backslash\mathrm{GL}_r(\mathbb{A})$ by the product formula.

(I.1.3) Serre Duality and Riemann-Roch

For the arithmetic cohomologies just introduced, we have the following

Theorem. For any $g \in \mathrm{GL}_r(F)\backslash\mathrm{GL}_r(\mathbb{A})$,
(1) (Serre Duality) $h^1(\mathbb{A}_F, g) = h^0(\mathbb{A}_F, \kappa_F \otimes g^{-1})$;
(2) (Riemann-Roch Theorem)

$$h^0(\mathbb{A}_F, g) - h^1(\mathbb{A}_F, g) = \deg(g) - \frac{r}{2} \cdot \log|\Delta_F|.$$

Proof. By the choice of our f in the definition, (1) is a direct consequence of the topological Serre duality, i.e, Prop. 1.1, and the Plancherel Formula; while (2) is a direct consequence of the Serre duality just proved and Tate's Riemann-Roch theorem ([Ta, Thm. 4.2.1] and/or [Neu, XIV, §6]), i.e, the Poisson summation formula, by the fact that $\Big(H^0(\mathbb{A}_F, g)\Big)^{\perp} = H^0(\mathbb{A}_F, \kappa_F \otimes g^{-1})$. This then completes the proof.

Often, for our own convenience, we also write $e^{h^i(\mathbb{A}_F,g)} := H^i_{\mathrm{ga}}(F, g)$, $i = 1, 2$. With this, the above additive version may be rewritten as

Theorem$'$. For any $g \in \mathrm{GL}_r(F)\backslash\mathrm{GL}_r(\mathbb{A})$,
(1) (Serre Duality) $H^1_{\mathrm{ga}}(F, g) = H^0_{\mathrm{ga}}(F, \kappa_F \otimes g^{-1})$;

(2) (Riemann-Roch Theorem) $H^0_{\mathrm{ga}}(F, g) = H^1_{\mathrm{ga}}(F, g) \cdot N(g) \cdot N(\kappa_F)^{-\frac{r}{2}}$, where as usual $N(g)$ denotes $e^{\deg(g)}$.

Remarks. (1) Our work here is motivated by the works of Weil [We2], Tate[Ta], Iwasawa [Iw1,2], van der Geer-Schoof [GS], and Li [Li], as well as the works of Lang [L1,2], Arakelov [L3], Szpiro [L3], Parshin [Pa], Moreno [Mo], Neukirch [Neu], Deninger [De 1,2], Connes [Co], and Borisov [Bor]. For details, please see the references below, in particular [We2]. Also, it would be extremely interesting if one could relate the work here with that of Connes [Co] and Deninger [De1,2,3].
(2) One may apply the discussion here to wider classes of (multiplicative) characters and test functions so as to obtain a more general class of L-functions as done in Tate's Thesis. But we later would take a substentially different approach.
(3) Instead of working on S_F, the set of equivalence classes of valuations of F, or better, normalized valuations over F, one may also work on the set of *all* valuations of F, which in particular is parametrized by $S_F \times \mathbb{R}$ to get a much more refined geo-arithmetical cohomology theory. For details, please see [We3].

I.2. New Non-Abelian Zeta Functions

(I.2.1) Intersection Stability

For a metrized vector sheaf $(\mathcal{E}, \rho)$ on $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_F)$, define its associated μ-invariant by

$$\mu(\mathcal{E}, \rho) := \frac{\deg_{\mathrm{Ar}}(\mathcal{E}, \rho)}{\mathrm{rank}(\mathcal{E})},$$

where $\mathcal{O}_F$ denotes the ring of integers of a number field F and $\deg_{\mathrm{ar}}$ the Arakelov degree of $(\mathcal{E}, \rho)$. (See e.g. [16].) By definition, a proper sub metrized vector sheaf $(\mathcal{E}_1, \rho_1)$ of $(\mathcal{E}, \rho)$ consists of a proper sub vector sheaf $\mathcal{E}_1$ of $\mathcal{E}$ such that ρ_1 is induced from the restriction of ρ via the injection $\mathcal{E}_1 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{E}$.

Definition. *A metrized vector sheaf $(\mathcal{E}, \rho)$ is called stable (resp. semi-stable) if for all proper sub metrized vector sheaf $(\mathcal{E}_1, \rho_1)$ of $(\mathcal{E}, \rho)$,*

$$\mu(\mathcal{E}_1, \rho_1) < \mu(\mathcal{E}, \rho) \qquad (\textit{resp.} \qquad \mu(\mathcal{E}_1, \rho_1) \leq \mu(\mathcal{E}, \rho)).$$

Remarks. (1) Despite that we define it independently, the intersection stability in arithmetic, motivated by Mumford's work [Mu] in geometry, was first introduced by Stuhler in [St1,2], see also [Gr1,2], [Mor] and [Bos].
(2) The intersection stability plays a key role in our work on non-abelian class field theory for Riemann surfaces in [We1], appeared as what I call the *micro reciprocity law.* Motivated by this, as a fundamental problem, we ask whether a similar micro reciprocity law holds for number fields. This then leads naturally to global representations, or better, adelic representations, of Galois groups. For details about such a discussion, please see [We2].

Motivated by Weil's adelic interpretation of vector bundles over function fields, for $g = (g_v; g_\sigma) \in \mathrm{GL}_r(\mathbb{A})$, introduce a torsion-free $\mathcal{O}_F$-module

$$H^0(\mathbb{A}_F, g)_{\mathrm{fin}} := H^0(\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_F), g) := \{f \in F^r : g_v f \in \mathcal{O}_v^r, \forall v\}$$

in F^r. Denote the associated vector sheaf on $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_F)$ by $\mathcal{E}(g)$, that is,

$$\mathcal{E}(g) := H^0(\widetilde{\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_F}), g).$$

Moreover, note that F^r, via completion, is densely embedded in $\mathbb{A}_\infty^r$. Thus to introduce metrics on $\mathcal{E}(g)$ is equivalent to assigning metrics on the associated determinants, i.e., on the top exterior products, of the initial data. (See e.g, [L3, Chap. V].) Hence without loss of generality, we may assume that $r = 1$. In this case, the metric on $\mathcal{E}(g)$ (associated to g) is defined to be the one such that for the rational section $1 \in F$,

$$\|1\|_\sigma := \|g_\sigma\|_\sigma := |g_\sigma|^{N_\sigma := [F_\sigma : \mathbb{Q}_\sigma]}.$$

Denote such a metric on $\mathcal{E}(g)$ by $\rho(g)$ for $g \in \mathrm{GL}_r(\mathbb{A})$.

As such, we obtain a canonical map $(\mathcal{E}(\cdot), \rho(\cdot)) : \mathrm{GL}_r(\mathbb{A}) \to \Omega_{\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_F),r}$ by assigning g to $(\mathcal{E}(g), \rho(g))$, where $\Omega_{\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_F),r}$ denotes the collection of all isometrized classes of metrized vector sheaves of rank r over $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_F)$. Clearly, $(\mathcal{E}(\cdot), \rho(\cdot))$ factors through the quotient group $\mathrm{GL}_r(F)\backslash\mathrm{GL}_r(\mathbb{A})$ where $\mathrm{GL}_r(F)$ is embedded diagonally in $\mathrm{GL}_r(\mathbb{A})$. Denote this resulting map by $(\mathcal{E}(\cdot), \rho(\cdot))$ too by an abuse of notation. (See Ch.II below.)

Denote by $\mathcal{M}_{F,r}(d)$ the subset of $\Omega_{\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_F),r}$ consisting of the classes resulting from semi-stable metrized vector sheaves of (Arakelov) degree d. Since for a fixed degree, the semi-stability condition is a bounded and closed one, with respect to the natural topology, $\mathcal{M}_{F,r}(d)$ is compact. (See e.g. below or [Bo1,2], [Gr1] and/or [St1,2].)

Denote by $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{A}_F,r}(d) \subset \mathrm{GL}_r(F)\backslash\mathrm{GL}_r(\mathbb{A})$ the inverse image of $\mathcal{M}_{F,r}(d)$ under the map $(\mathcal{E}(\cdot), \rho(\cdot))$, and denote the corresponding map induced from the restriction by

$$\Pi_{F,r}(d) : \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{A}_F,r}(d) \to \mathcal{M}_{F,r}(d)$$

which we call the (algebraic) moment map. As a subquotient of $\mathrm{GL}_r(\mathbb{A})$, $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{A}_F,r}(d)$ admits a natural topology, the induced one. Also, by a general result due to Borel [Bo1], which in our case is more or less obvious, the fibers of $\Pi_{F,r}(d)$ are all compact. Thus in particular, $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{A}_F,r}(d)$, which we call the moduli space of semi-stable adelic bundles of rank r and degree d, is compact. Moreover, as a subquotient of $\mathrm{GL}_r(\mathbb{A})$, $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{A}_F,r}(d)$ carries a natural measure induced from the standard one on $\mathrm{GL}_r(\mathbb{A})$, which we call the *Tamagawa measure*, and denote by $d\mu_{\mathbb{A}_F,r}(d)$. For the same reason, there is also a natural measure on $\mathcal{M}_{F,r}(d)$, which we have reasons to call the *hyperbolic measure*, and denote it by $d\mu_{F,r}(d)$.

Clearly, the total volumes of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{A}_F,r}(d)$ (resp. $\mathcal{M}_{F,r}(d)$) with respect to $d\mu_{\mathbb{A}_F,r}(d)$ (resp. $d\mu_{F,r}(d)$) are very important non-commutative invariants for number fields. Note that according to what we call the Bombieri-Vaaler trick [BV], i.e., by multiplying g with $(1; e^{t_\sigma})$ where $t_\sigma := N_\sigma \cdot t$ with $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we obtain a natural isomorphism between $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{A}_F,r}(d)$ and $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{A}_F,r}(d - n \cdot t)$ where $n := [F : \mathbb{Q}]$. (Even though it is an open problem that whether semi-stability is closed under tensor operation [Bos], the case here in which one is of rank 1 is rather obvious.) Consequently, the above volumes are independent of degrees d. Denote them by $W_F(r)$ and $w_F(r)$ respectively. Later we will see that $W_F(r)$ and $w_F(r)$ are the residues at $s = 1$ of our non-abelian zeta functions.

(I.2.2) Functional Equation: A Formal Calculation

Motivated by Weil's treatment of functional equation in [W2], we give a formal discussion to show the key points of functional equation.

For the number field F with discriminant Δ_F, denote by $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{A}_F,r}$ the moduli space of semi-stable adelic bundles of rank r, that is, $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{A}_F,r} :=\dot{\cup}_{N\in\mathbb{R}_+}\ \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{A}_F,r}[N]$ where $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{A}_F,r}[N] := \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{A}_F,r}(\log N)$. By using the Bombieri-Vaaler trick in (2.1), as topological spaces, $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{A}_F,r} \simeq \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{A}_F,r}[|\Delta_F|^{\frac{r}{2}}] \times \mathbb{R}_+$. Hence we obtain a natural measure $d\mu$ on $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{A}_F,r}$ from the Tamagawa measures on $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{A}_F,r}[N]$ and $\frac{dT}{T}$ on $\mathbb{R}_+$.

Recall that for any $E \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{A}_F,r}$, $H^i_{\mathrm{ga}}(F,E) := H^i_{\mathrm{ga}}(F,g) = e^{h^i(\mathbb{A}_F,g)}$ where $g\in \mathrm{GL}_r(\mathbb{A})$ is such that $E=[g]$. Since for any $a\in\mathrm{GL}_r(F)$, $H^i_{\mathrm{ga}}(F,a\cdot g) = H^i_{\mathrm{ga}}(F,g)$ by the product formula. $H^i_{\mathrm{ga}}(F,E)$ is well-defined for $i=0,\,1$.

With respect to fixed real constants A,B,C,α and β, introduce the formal integration $Z_{F,r;A,B,C;\alpha,\beta}(s)$ as follows:

$$Z_{F,r;A,B,C;\alpha,\beta}(s) := \big(|\Delta_F|^{-\frac{rB}{2}}\big)^s\int_{E\in\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{A}_F,r}}\Big(H^0_{\mathrm{ga}}(F,E)^A\cdot N(E)^{Bs+C} - N(E)^{\alpha s+\beta}\Big)d\mu(E).$$

Then formally,

$$Z_{F,r;A,B,C;\alpha,\beta}(s) = I(s) - II(s) + III(s),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I(s) :=&\big(|\Delta_F|^{-\frac{rB}{2}}\big)^s\int_{E\in\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{A}_F,r},N(E)\leq|\Delta_F|^{\frac{r}{2}}}\Big(H^0_{\mathrm{ga}}(F,E)^A\cdot N(E)^{Bs+C} - N(E)^{\alpha s+\beta}\Big)d\mu(E);\\ II(s) :=&\big(|\Delta_F|^{-\frac{rB}{2}}\big)^s\int_{E\in\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{A}_F,r},N(E)\geq|\Delta_F|^{\frac{r}{2}}} N(E)^{\alpha s+\beta}d\mu(E);\\ III(s) :=&\big(|\Delta_F|^{-\frac{rB}{2}}\big)^s\int_{E\in\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{A}_F,r},N(E)\geq|\Delta_F|^{\frac{r}{2}}} H^0_{\mathrm{ga}}(F,E)^A\cdot N(E)^{Bs+C}d\mu(E). \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem$'$ 1.3, i.e., the multiplicative version of Serre duality and Riemann-Roch theorem, we have

$$III(s) = \big(|\Delta_F|^{-\frac{rB}{2}}\big)^{-s-\frac{A+2C}{B}}\int_{E\in\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{A}_F,r},N(E)\leq|\Delta_F|^{\frac{r}{2}}} H^0_{\mathrm{ga}}(F,E)^A\cdot N(E)^{B(-s-\frac{A+2C}{B})+C}d\mu(E),$$

by the fact that $N(E_1\otimes E_2^\vee) = N(E_1)^{\mathrm{rank}(E_2)}\cdot N(E_2)^{-\mathrm{rank}(E_1)}$. Hence, formally,

$$Z_{F,r;A,B,C;\alpha,\beta}(s) = I(s) + I(-s-\frac{A+2C}{B}) - II(s) + IV(s),$$

where

$$IV(s) := \big(|\Delta_F|^{-\frac{rB}{2}}\big)^{-s-\frac{A+2C}{B}}\int_{E\in\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{A}_F,r},N(E)\leq|\Delta_F|^{\frac{r}{2}}} N(E)^{\alpha\cdot(-s-\frac{A+2C}{B})+\beta}d\mu(E).$$

Moreover, by definition,

$$\begin{aligned} -II(s) =& -\int_{E\in\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{A}_F,r},N(E)\geq\Delta_F^{\frac{r}{2}}} N(E)^{\alpha s+\beta}d\mu(E) = -\int_{\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{A}_F,r}[|\Delta_F|^{\frac{r}{2}}]} d\mu(E)\cdot\int_1^\infty t^{\alpha t+\beta}\frac{dt}{t}\\ =& -W_F(r)\cdot\frac{t^{\alpha s+\beta}}{\alpha s+\beta}\Big|_1^\infty = W_F(r)\cdot\frac{1}{\alpha s+\beta}, \end{aligned}$$

provided that $\alpha s+\beta<0$.

Similarly,

$$IV(s) = \int_{\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{A}_F,r}[|\Delta_F|^{\frac{r}{2}}]} d\mu(E)\cdot\int_0^1 t^{\alpha(-s-\frac{A+2C}{B})+\beta}\frac{dt}{t} = W_F(r)\cdot\frac{1}{\alpha(-s-\frac{A+2C}{B})+\beta}$$

provided that $\alpha(-s-\frac{A+2C}{B})+\beta>0$.

Therefore, formally,

$$Z_{F,r;A,B,C;\alpha,\beta}(s)=I(s)+I\big(-s-\frac{A+2C}{B}\big)+W_F(r)\cdot\Big(\frac{1}{\alpha s+\beta}+\frac{1}{\alpha(-s-\frac{A+2C}{B})+\beta}\Big).$$

As a direct consequence, we have the following

Functional Equation. *With the same notation as above, formally,*

$$Z_{F,r;A,B,C;\alpha,\beta}(s)=Z_{F,r;A,B,C;\alpha,\beta}(-s-\frac{A+2C}{B}).$$

(2.3) Non-Abelian Zeta Functions for Number Fields

To justify the arguments in (I.2.2), we consider two types of convergence problems.

Type 1. Convergence for $II(s)$ and $IV(s)$, where

$$\begin{aligned} II(s)=&\big(|\Delta_F|^{-\frac{rB}{2}}\big)^s\int_{E\in\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{A}_F,r},N(E)\geq|\Delta_F|^{\frac{r}{2}}}N(E)^{\alpha s+\beta}d\mu(E);\\ IV(s)=&\big(|\Delta_F|^{-\frac{rB}{2}}\big)^{-s-\frac{A+2C}{B}}\int_{E\in\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{A}_F,r},N(E)\leq|\Delta_F|^{\frac{r}{2}}}N(E)^{\alpha\cdot(-s-\frac{A+2C}{B})+\beta}d\mu(E). \end{aligned}$$

From the calculation in (I.2.2), when $\mathrm{Re}(\alpha\cdot s+\beta)<0$ and $\mathrm{Re}\big(\alpha\cdot(-s-\frac{A+2C}{B})+\beta\big)>0$, being holomorphic functions,

$$II(s)=-W_F(r)\cdot\frac{1}{\alpha\cdot s+\beta}\qquad\text{and}\qquad IV(s)=W_E(r)\cdot\frac{1}{\alpha\cdot(-s-\frac{A+2C}{B})+\beta}.$$

Type 2. Convergence for $I(s)$ and $I(-s-\frac{A+2C}{B})$ where

$$I(s)=\big(|\Delta_F|^{-\frac{rB}{2}}\big)^s\int_{E\in\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{A}_F,r},N(E)\leq|\Delta_F|^{\frac{r}{2}}}\Big(H^0_{\mathrm{ga}}(F,E)^A\cdot N(E)^{Bs+C}-N(E)^{\alpha s+\beta}\Big)d\mu(E).$$

By the discussion above about $II(s)$, unless $B=\alpha$, $I(s)$ and $I(-s-\frac{A+2C}{B})$, and hence $Z_{F,r;A,B,C;\alpha,\beta}(s)$ cannot be meromorphically extended as a meromorphic function to the whole s-plane. (See also the discussion below.) Thus, we introduce the following

Compatibility Conditions: $\alpha=B$ and $\beta=C$.

With this, by a change of variables, we may afterwards assume that $B=1$ and $C=0$ without loss of generality so as to obtain the following integration:

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{F,r;A}(s):=&Z_{F,r;A,-1,0;-1,0}(s)\\ :=&\big(|\Delta_F|^{\frac{r}{2}}\big)^s\cdot\int_{E\in\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{A}_F,r}}\Big(H^0_{\mathrm{ga}}(F,E)^A-1\Big)\cdot N(E)^{-s}d\mu(E). \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, for any $g\in\mathrm{GL}_r(\mathbb{A})$, note that $H^0(\mathbb{A}_F,g)$ is discrete, so from the definition, the associated measure is simply the standard counting measure. Thus by writing down each term precisely,

$$\begin{aligned} H^0_{\mathrm{ga}}(F,g)=&1+\sum_{\alpha\in H^0(\mathrm{Spec}\mathcal{O}_F,\mathcal{E}(g))\backslash\{0\}}\exp\Big(-\pi\sum_{\sigma:\mathbb{R}}|g_\sigma\cdot\alpha|^2-2\pi\sum_{\sigma:\mathbb{C}}|g_\sigma\cdot\alpha|^2\Big)\\ =:&1+H'_{\mathrm{ga}}(F,g). \end{aligned}$$

In this expression, the first term is simply the constant function 1 on the moduli space, while each term in the second decays exponentially. Hence, by a standard argument about convergence of an integration of theta series for higher rank lattices, see. e.g, [Neu], and the fact that 1 in the first term $H^0_{\mathrm{ga}}(F,E)^A$ cancels with 1 appeared as the second term in the combination $H^0_{\mathrm{ga}}(F,E)^A-1$, we conclude that $II(s)$ and $II(-s-A)$ are all holomorphic functions, provided $A>0$. All in all, we have proved the following

Main Theorem A. *For any strictly positive real number A,*

$$Z_{F,r;A}(s) =: \big(|\Delta_F|^{\frac{r}{2}}\big)^s \cdot \int_{E\in\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{A}_F,r}} \Big(H^0_{\mathrm{ga}}(F,E)^A - 1\Big)\cdot N(E)^{-s} d\mu(E)$$

is holomorphic when $Re(s) > A$. Moreover,
(1) $Z_{F,r;A}(s)$ admits a meromorphic continuation to the whole complex s plane which has only two singularities, simple poles at $s = 0$ and $s = A$ with the same residue $W_F(r)$, i.e, the Tamagawa volume of the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{A}_F,r}[|\Delta_F|^{\frac{r}{2}}]$;
(2) $Z_{F,r;A}(s)$ satisfies the functional equation

$$Z_{F,r;A}(s) = Z_{F,r;A}(A-s).$$

Remark. As to be seen in Chapter II, the non-abelian invariant $W_F(r)$ may be written in terms of (combinations of products of) special values of abelian zeta functions. One may then view this as one of the main application of our non-abelian zeta (and L-)functions to the classical abelian L-functions: We obtain a universal relation among special values of abelian L-functions with the help of the functional equation since $W_F(r)$ may be evaluated geometrically – by the well-known formula of Siegel, we only need to calculate volumes of the cut-off neighbourhood of cusps, a relatively easy part if a concrete description of the moduli space is known.

Main Definition A. *The function*

$$\xi_{F,r}(s) := \hat{\zeta}_{F,r}(s) := Z_{F,r}(s) := Z_{F,r;1}(s)$$

is called the rank r non-abelian zeta function of F.

As well-known to experts, this latest definition may be justified by Iwasawa's ICM talk at MIT [Iw1] due to the fact that essentially $\hat{\zeta}_{F,1}(s)$ is the completed Dedekind zeta function $\hat{\zeta}_F(s)$ for F.

Chapter II. Motivated Examples

II.1. Epstein Zeta Functions and Non-Abelian Zeta Functions

For simplicity, assume that the number field involved is the field of rationals. A lattice Λ over $\mathbb{Q}$ is semi-stable, by Riemann-Roch, if for any sublattice Λ_1 of Λ,

$$\big(\mathrm{Vol}\,\Lambda_1\big)^{\mathrm{rank}\,\Lambda} \geq \big(\mathrm{Vol}\,\Lambda\big)^{\mathrm{rank}\,\Lambda_1}.$$

Denote the moduli space of rank r semistable lattices over $\mathbb{Q}$ by $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{Q},r}$, then the lattice version of *rank r non-abelian zeta function* $\xi_{\mathbb{Q},r}(s)$ of $\mathbb{Q}$ is defined to be

$$\xi_{\mathbb{Q},r}(s) := \int_{\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{Q},r}} \Big(e^{h^0(\mathbb{Q},\Lambda)} - 1\Big)\cdot \big(e^{-s}\big)^{\deg(\Lambda)} d\mu(\Lambda), \qquad \mathrm{Re}(s) > r,$$

where $h^0(\mathbb{Q},\Lambda) := \log\big(\sum_{x\in\Lambda}\exp\big(-\pi|x|^2\big)\big)$ and $\deg(\Lambda)$ denotes the Arakelov degree of Λ. With the discussion in Chap. I, one checks that
(i) $\xi_{\mathbb{Q},1}(s)$ coincides with the (completed) Riemann-zeta function;
(ii) $\xi_{\mathbb{Q},r}(s)$ can be meromorphically extended to the whole complex plane;
(iii) $\xi_{\mathbb{Q},r}(s)$ satisfies the functional equation

$$\xi_{\mathbb{Q},r}(s) = \xi_{\mathbb{Q},r}(1-s);$$

(iv) $\xi_{\mathbb{Q},r}(s)$ has only two singularities, simple poles, at $s = 0, 1$, with the same residues $\mathrm{Vol}\,(\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{Q},r}[1])$, the Tamagawa type volume of the space of rank r semi-stable lattice of volume 1.

Denote by $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{Q},r}[T]$ the moduli space of rank r semi-stable lattices of volume T. We have a trivial decomposition

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{Q},r} = \cup_{T>0}\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{Q},r}[T].$$

Moreover, there is a natural morphism

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{Q},r}[T]\to\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{Q},r}[1],\qquad \Lambda\mapsto T^{\frac{1}{r}}\cdot\Lambda.$$

With this,

$$\begin{aligned}\xi_{\mathbb{Q},r}(s)=&\int_{\cup_{T>0}\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{Q},r}[T]}\Big(e^{h^0(\mathbb{Q},\Lambda)}-1\Big)\cdot\big(e^{-s}\big)^{\deg(\Lambda)}\,d\mu(\Lambda)\\ =&\int_0^\infty T^s\frac{dT}{T}\int_{\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{Q},r}[1]}\Big(e^{h^0(\mathbb{Q},T^{\frac{1}{r}}\cdot\Lambda)}-1\Big)\cdot d\mu_1(\Lambda),\end{aligned}$$

where $d\mu_1$ denotes the induced Tamagawa measure on $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{Q},r}[1]$.

Thus note that

$$h^0(\mathbb{Q},T^{\frac{1}{r}}\cdot\Lambda)=\log\left(\sum_{x\in\Lambda}\exp\big(-\pi|x|^2\cdot T^{\frac{2}{r}}\big)\right),$$

and for $B\neq 0$,

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-AT^B}T^s\frac{dT}{T}=\frac{1}{B}\cdot A^{-\frac{s}{B}}\cdot\Gamma(\frac{s}{B}),$$

we have

$$\xi_{\mathbb{Q},r}(s)=\frac{r}{2}\cdot\pi^{-\frac{r}{2}s}\Gamma(\frac{r}{2}s)\cdot\int_{\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{Q},r}[1]}\left(\sum_{x\in\Lambda\backslash\{0\}}|x|^{-rs}\right)\cdot d\mu_1(\Lambda).$$

Set now the completed Epstein zeta function, a special kind of Eisenstein series, associated to the rank r lattice Λ over $\mathbb{Q}$ by

$$\hat{E}(\Lambda;s):=\pi^{-s}\Gamma(s)\cdot\sum_{x\in\Lambda\backslash\{0\}}|x|^{-2s},$$

then we have the following

Proposition. (Eisenstein series and Non-Abelian Zeta Functions) *With the same notation as above,*

$$\xi_{\mathbb{Q},r}(s)=\frac{r}{2}\int_{\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{Q},r}[1]}\hat{E}(\Lambda,\frac{r}{2}s)\,d\mu_1(\Lambda).$$

Thus to study non-abelian zeta functions, we need to understand Eisenstein series and (geo-arithmetical) truncations.

Remark. While we may introduce general non-abelian L-functions by using more general test functions, see e.g, Tate's Thesis where abelian version is discussed. In this paper, we decide to take a different approach using Eisenstein series. (We reminder the reader that for the abelian picture, Eisenstein series are not available.)

II.2. Rankin-Selberg Method: An Example with SL_2

From the previous section, we know that

$$\xi_{\mathbb{Q},2}(s)=\int_{\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{Q},2}[1]}\hat{E}(\Lambda,s)\,d\mu_1(\Lambda).$$

Thus to study $\xi_{\mathbb{Q},2}(s)$, we need to know
(i) what is the moduli space of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{Q},2}$? and
(ii) what is the integration of the Eisenstein series $\hat{E}(\Lambda;s)$ over this space.

Before discussing this, let us take a more traditional approach.

Consider the action of SL$(2,\mathbb{Z})$ on the upper half plane $\mathcal{H}$. A standard 'fundamental domain' of $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ is given by

$$D=\{z=x+iy\in\mathcal{H}:|x|\le\frac{1}{2},y>0,x^2+y^2\ge 1\}.$$

Associated to this is aslo the standard completed Eisenstein series

$$\hat{E}(z;s) := \pi^{-s}\Gamma(s) \cdot \sum_{(m,n)\in\mathbb{Z}^2\setminus\{(0,0)\}} \frac{y^s}{|mz+n|^{2s}}.$$

At this stage, a natural question is to consider the integration

$$\int_D \hat{E}(z,s)\frac{dx\,dy}{y^2}. \tag{1}$$

However, as well-known to even graduate students, this integration diverges: Near the only cusp $y=\infty$, $\hat{E}(z,s)$ has the Fourier expansion

$$\hat{E}(z;s) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n(y,s)e^{2\pi inx}$$

with

$$a_n(y,s) = \begin{cases} \xi(2s)y^s + \xi(2-2s)y^{1-s}, & \text{if } n=0 \\ 2|n|^{s-\frac{1}{2}}\sigma_{1-2s}(|n|)\sqrt{y}K_{s-\frac{1}{2}}(2\pi|n|y), & \text{if } n\neq 0, \end{cases}$$

where $\xi(s)$ denotes the completed Riemann zeta function,

$$\sigma_s(n) := \sum_{d|n} d^s, \quad \text{and} \quad K_s(y) := \frac{1}{2}\int_0^\infty e^{-y(t+\frac{1}{t})/2}t^s\frac{dt}{t}$$

is the K-Bessel function. Moreover,

$$|K_s(y)| \le e^{-y/2}K_{\mathrm{Re}(s)}(2), \qquad \text{if } y>4, \qquad \text{and} \qquad K_s = K_{-s},$$

so $a_{n\neq 0}(y,s)$ decay exponentially, and the problematic term comes from $a_0(y,s)$, which is of slow growth.

Therefore, to make the integration (1) meaningful, we need to cut-off the slow growth part. Here we use two ways to do so: one is geometric and hence rather direct and simple; while the other is analytic, and hence rather technical and traditional, and is dated back to Rankin-Selberg.

(a) **Geometric Truncation**

Draw a horizontal line $y=T\ge 1$ and set

$$D_T = \{z=x+iy\in D : y\le T\}, \qquad D^T = \{z=x+iy\in D : y\ge T\}.$$

Then $D=D_T\cup D^T$. Introduce the integration

$$I_T^{\mathrm{Geo}}(s) := \int_{D_T} \hat{E}(z,s)\,\frac{dx\,dy}{y^2}.$$

Clearly, $I_T^{\mathrm{Geo}}(s)$ is well-defined.

(b) **Analytic Truncation**

Define a truncated Eisenstein series $\hat{E}_T(z;s)$ by

$$\hat{E}_T(z;s) := \begin{cases} \hat{E}(z;s), & \text{if } y\le T \\ \hat{E}(z,s) - a_0(y;s), & \text{if } y>T. \end{cases}$$

Introduce the integration

$$I_T^{\mathrm{Ana}}(s) := \int_D \hat{E}_T(z;s)\,\frac{dx\,dy}{y^2}. \tag{3}$$

With this, from the Rankin-Selberg method, one checks that we have the following:

Proposition. (Analytic Truncation=Geometric Truncation in Rank 2) *With the same notation as above,*

$$I_T^{\mathrm{Geo}}(s) = \xi(2s)\frac{T^{s-1}}{s-1} - \xi(2s-1)\frac{T^{-s}}{s} = I_T^{\mathrm{Ana}}(s). \tag{4}$$

Each of the above two integrations has its own merit: for the geometric one, we keep the Eisenstein series unchanged, while for the analytic one, we keep the original fundamental domain of $\mathcal{H}$ under $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{Z})$ as it is.

Note that the nice point about the fundamental domain is that it admits a modular interpretation. Thus it would be very idealisic if we could at the same time keep the Eisenstein series unchanged, while offer some integration domains which appear naturally in certain moduli problems. This is exactly what we are seeking for, as indicated by the example presented right below. (The general construction is going to be presented in the last two chapters of this paper.)

(c) **Algebraic Truncation**

Now we explain why the above discussion and Rankin-Selberg method have anything to do with our non-abelian zeta functions. For this, we introduce yet another truncation, the algebraic one.

So back to the moduli space of rank 2 lattices of volume 1 over $\mathbb{Q}$. Then classical reduction theory gives a natural map from this moduli space to the fundamental domain D above: For any lattice Λ, fix $\mathbf{x}_1 \in \Lambda$ such that its length gives the first Minkowski minimum λ_1 of Λ. Then via rotation, we may assume that $\mathbf{x}_1 = (\lambda_1, 0)$. Further, from the reduction theory $\frac{1}{\lambda_1}\Lambda$ may be viewed as the lattice of the volume $\lambda_1^{-2} = y_0$ which is generated by $(1,0)$ and $\omega = x_0 + iy_0 \in D$. That is to say, the points in D_T are in one-to-one corresponding to the rank two lattices of volume one whose first Minkowski minimum $\lambda_1^{-2} \leq T$, i.e, $\lambda_1 \geq T^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. Set $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{Q},2}^{\leq \frac{1}{2}\log T}[1]$ be the moduli space of rank 2 lattices Λ of volume 1 over $\mathbb{Q}$ whose sublattices Λ_1 of rank 1 have degrees $\leq \frac{1}{2}\log T$. As a direct consequence, we have the following

Fact. (Geometric Truncation = Algebraic Truncation) *With the same notation as above, there is a natural one-to-one, onto morphism*

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{Q},2}^{\leq \frac{1}{2}\log T}[1] \simeq D_T.$$

In particular,

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{Q},2}^{\leq 0}[1] = \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{Q},2}[1] \simeq D_1.$$

With this, by Proposition I.2, we may then also introduce a much more general type of non-abelian zeta functions, parametrized by T, with the help of a Harder-Narasimhan type discussion on intersection stability. (For details in general, see next chapter.) As a special case, we have the following

Main Example. (Degeneration in Rank 2) *With the same notation as above,*

$$\xi_{\mathbb{Q},2}(s) = \xi(2s)\frac{1}{s-1} - \xi(2s-1)\frac{1}{s}. \tag{5}$$

Quite disappointed. Isn't it?! After all, what we previously claimed is that the zeta functions we introduced are non-abelian, yet the calculation in rank two results only (a combination of) abelian zetas. For me, just as happened for many others at the very begining, it took about a half year to understand what was involved: As the discussion in the next section and the last chapter shows all rank two non-abelian zetas degenerate. Despite of this, a positive thinking then leads to the following three observations:

(i) The special values $\zeta(2n)$ and $\zeta(2n-1)$ of the Riemann zeta function are intrinsically related by our rank two zeta functions. As Eisenstein series may be studied independently, our formula indicates that non-abelian zetas could be used to understand abelian zetas;

(ii) The volume of D_T may be evaluated from this formula via a residue argument; Moreover, the volume of D^1 also have an interpretation in terms of the special values of the Riemann zeta.

(iii) The dependence on T of the integrations (4) is quite regular: The 'main term' is simply

$$\xi(2s) \cdot \frac{1}{s-1} - \xi(2s-1) \cdot \frac{1}{s}.$$

II.3. Where Non-Abelian Contributions Come

In the previous section, we conclude that rank 2 non-abelian zeta function degenerates. In this section, we explain why this happens and use an example of rank 3 zeta functions to point out where exactly the non-abelian contributions come. This then justifies why we call the functions introduced in the next chapter non-abelian L-functions.

II.3.1. The Group SL_3

The moduli space of rank 3 lattices of volume 1 may be identified with $SL(3,\mathbb{Z})\backslash SL(3,\mathbb{R})/SO(3,\mathbb{R})$. We start with a description of several coordinates for $SL(3,\mathbb{R})/SO(3,\mathbb{R})$. For this, consider the following standard parabolic subgroups of $G = SL(3,\mathbb{R})$.

$$P_0 = P_{1,1,1} := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ 0 & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & a_{33} \end{pmatrix} \in G : a_{ij} \in \mathbb{R} \right\};$$

$$P_1 = P_{2,1} := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & a_{33} \end{pmatrix} \in G : a_{ij} \in \mathbb{R} \right\};$$

and

$$P_2 = P_{1,2} := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ 0 & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ 0 & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{pmatrix} \in G : a_{ij} \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

Write the corresponding Langlands decompositions as $P_i = N_i A_i M_i, i = 0, 1, 2$ where N_i is the unipotent radical of P_i, A_i reducible and M_i simple. So,

$$M_0 = \left\{ I_3, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

More generally, if we denote the matrices of each subgroup by the corresponding lower-case letters. The subgroups above consists of the following elements:

$$n_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ 0 & 1 & a_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}; \quad a_0 = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a_{22} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_{33} \end{pmatrix}; \qquad m_0 \in M_0;$$
$$n_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & x_1 \\ 0 & 1 & t_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}; \quad a_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha_1^{-1} \end{pmatrix}; \quad m_1 = \begin{pmatrix} * & * & 0 \\ * & * & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot m_0;$$
$$n_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_2 & t_2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}; \quad a_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_2^{-2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha_2 \end{pmatrix}; \quad m_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & * & * \\ 0 & * & * \end{pmatrix} \cdot m_0,$$

where $a_{ij}, x_i, t_i \in \mathbb{R}, a_{ii}, \alpha_i > 0$.

Note that by the Iwasawa decomposition with respect to P_0, we have $G = A_0^+ N_0 K$. Thus choose a coset G/K amounts to choosing an element of N_0 and one of A_0^+, the identity component of A_0. Hence, we may identify G/K with

$$\left\{ Y := \begin{pmatrix} y_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & (y_1 y_2)^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_2 \\ 0 & 1 & x_3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : y_1, y_2 > 0, x_1, x_2, x_3 \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

As such it is then convenient to introduce two coordinate systems according to the parabolic subgroups P_1 and P_2, respectively. In fact, notice that $M_1/M_1 \cap K \simeq SL(2,\mathbb{R})/SO(2,\mathbb{R})$ so natural coordinates for G/K are given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} u_1^{1/2} & v_1 u_1^{-1/2} & 0 \\ 0 & u_1^{-1/2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha_1^{-2} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & x_1 \\ 0 & 1 & t_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $z_1 = v_1 + iu_1$ can be regarded as a point in the Poincare upper half plane. Similarly, consideration of P_2 yields coordinates

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & u_2^{1/2} & v_2 u_1^{-1/2} \\ 0 & 0 & u_1^{-1/2} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_2^{-2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha_2 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t_2 & x_2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $y_i = \alpha_i^6$, $i = 1, 2$ then a Haar measure on G/K may be given in terms of Langlands coordinates as follows

$$d\mu = \frac{dy_1}{y_1^2} \frac{dz_1}{u_1^2} \, dx_1 \, dt_1 = \frac{dy_2}{y_2^2} \frac{dz_2}{u_2^2} \, dx_2 \, dt_2$$

where $z_1 = v_1 + iu_1$ and $z_2 = v_2 + iu_2$.

Let $\Gamma = \mathrm{SL}(3, \mathbb{Z})$ acting on G/K, and $\mathcal{D}$ be a fundamental domain for Γ. Then by the theory of Eisenstein series,

$$L^2(\Gamma \backslash G/K) = \mathbb{H}_0 \oplus \Theta_0^{(1)} \oplus \Theta_0^{(2)} \oplus \Theta_{1,2}^{(2)}$$

where H_0 denotes the cusp forms of Γ, while the Theta's may be defined as follows using Eisenstein series:

Associated to minimal parabolic subgroup P_0 we have the Eisenstein series

$$E^0(Y; s, t) := \sum_{\gamma \in P_0 \cap \Gamma \backslash \Gamma} y_1(\gamma Y)^s u_1(\gamma Y)^t. \tag{7}$$

It is known that this series converges when $3\mathrm{Re}(s) - \mathrm{Re}(t) > 2, \mathrm{Re}(t) > 1$ and admits a meromorphic to the whole (s, t)-space. Despite that there are many poles, but these which are of some interests to us are on the lines $t = 1, 3s - t = 2, 3s + t = 3$. The residues at these poles are meromorphically continued Eisenstein series of one variable and generate the closed subspace $\Theta^{(1)}$. One checks that $\Theta_0^{(2)}$ is simply the span of $E^0(Y, 1/2 + ir_1, 1/2 + ir_2)$. (A general fact due to Langlands.)

Now, let ϕ be an even cusp forms for $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ on the upper half-plane. Set

$$E_i(Y; \phi; s) := \sum_{P_i \cap \Gamma \backslash \Gamma} y_i(\gamma Y)^s \cdot \phi(z_i(\gamma Y)), \qquad i = 1, 2. \tag{8}$$

These series converge for $\mathrm{Re}(s) > 1$ and have meromorphic extensions on the whole s-plane which have no poles on the line $(1/2, 1]$. One checks that the space $\Theta_{1,2}$ generated by $E_i(Y; \phi; s), i = 1, 2$ for all ϕ coincides with $\Theta_{1,2}^{(2)}$, the closed space spanned by E_i along the line $\mathrm{Re}(s) = 1/2$. Indeed, one may also have a refined orthogonal decomposition of $\Theta_{1,2}^{(2)}$ according to that of ϕ. For details, see [V] or Ch. III below.

II.3.2. Functional Equations

There may be different ways to write down the functional equations. For us, to indicate how delicate they are, we first introduce what we call the completed Eisenstein series, then give very elegent functional equations for them. (Please compare our approach to others, e.g, [V].)

Define the completed Eisenstein series associated to $E^0(Y; s, t)$ above by

$$\hat{E}^0(Y; s, t) := \xi(2t)\xi(3s - t)\xi(3s + t - 1) \cdot E^0(Y; s, t).$$

Then one checks that we have the following very beautiful

Functional Equations. *With the same notation as above,*

(i) $\hat{E}^0(Y; s, 1 - t) = \hat{E}^0(Y; s, t)$;

(ii) $\hat{E}^0(Y; \frac{1}{2}(1 - s + t), \frac{1}{2}(-1 + 3s + t)) = \hat{E}^0(Y; s, t)$;

(iii) $\hat{E}^0(Y; \frac{1}{2}(2 - s - t), \frac{1}{2}(2 - 3s + t)) = \hat{E}^0(Y; s, t)$;

(iv) $\hat{E}^0(Y; \frac{1}{2}(1 - s + t), \frac{1}{2}(3 - 3s - t)) = \hat{E}^0(Y; s, t)$;

(v) $\hat{E}^0(Y; \frac{1}{2}(2 - s - t), \frac{1}{2}(3s - t)) = \hat{E}^0(Y; s, t)$.

II.3.3. Fourier Expansions

To go further, we need to understand the Fourier expansion of Eisenstein series near cusps. However to motivate our discussion of non-abelian L-functions in Ch. III, we concentrate on the Eisenstein series $\hat{E}^0(Y;s,t)$ of the highest level, whose residue gives the Epstein zeta function.

Let us start with the simplest terms, i.e., the so-called constant terms appeared in the Fourier expansion for the cusps. By definition, for any measurable, locally L^1 function $f(Y)$ on N_j, set the constant term of f along P_j to be

$$f_{P_j}(Y) := \int_{\Gamma\cap N_j\backslash N_j} f(nY)dn, \qquad j=0,1,2.$$

Proposition. (See e.g, Venkov[V]) *With the same notation as above,*

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{E}^0_{P_0}(Y;s,t) =&\xi(2t)\xi(3s-t)\xi(3s+t-1)\cdot y_1^s u_1^t\\
&+\xi(2t)\xi(3s-t-1)\xi(3s+t-1)\cdot y_1^s u_1^{1-t}\\
&+\xi(2t-1)\xi(3s-t-1)\xi(3s+t-2)\cdot y_1^{\frac12(1-s-t)} u_1^{\frac12(2-3s+t)}\\
&+\xi(2t)\xi(3s-t-1)\xi(3s+t-1)\cdot y_1^{\frac12(1-s-t)} u_1^{\frac12(3-3s-t)}\\
&+\xi(2t-1)\xi(3s-t)\xi(3s+t-2)\cdot y_1^{\frac12(2-s-t)} u_1^{\frac12(3s-t)};\\
\hat{E}^0_{P_i}(Y;s,t) =&\xi(3s-t)\xi(3s+t-1)\cdot y_i^s\cdot \hat{E}(z_i,t)\\
&+\xi(2t)\xi(3s-t-1)\cdot y_i^{\frac12(1-s-t)}\cdot \hat{E}(z_i,\frac12(3s+t-1))\\
&\xi(2t-1)\xi(3s-t)\cdot y_i^{\frac12(2-s-t)}\cdot \hat{E}(z_i,\frac12(3-3s-t)); \qquad i=1,2
\end{aligned}$$

For the proof, see, e.g., that of Lemmas 2 and 8 of [V]. (Note that here we systematically use the completed Eisenstein series.)

Next, let us recall the Fourier expansions of $\hat{E}^0(Y;s,t)$ along the parabolic subgroups P_1 and P_2. (In theory, we should also know the Fourier expansion along P_0. However, as the later calculation shows, with an induction on the rank, to see the non-abelian contributions, such detailed information is not needed: the terms involved will finally lead to a combination of abelian zeta functions just as what happens for SL_2 in Chapter II.) We will follow [IT]. For this, view rank 3 lattices of volume one as positive quadratic forms of determinant 1, write

$$Y=\begin{pmatrix}U&0\\0&w\end{pmatrix}\begin{bmatrix}I_2&x\\0&1\end{bmatrix}=\begin{pmatrix}I_2&0\\x^t&1\end{pmatrix}\cdot\begin{pmatrix}U&0\\0&w\end{pmatrix}\cdot\begin{pmatrix}I_2&x\\0&1\end{pmatrix}$$

and define the first type of matrix k-Bessel function to be

$$k_{2,1}(Y;s_1,s_2;A) := \int_{X\in\mathbb{R}^{2\times1}} p_{-s_1,-s_2}\left(Y^{-1}\begin{bmatrix}1&0\\x^t&I_2\end{bmatrix}\right)\exp\Big(2\pi i\mathrm{Tr}(A^t\cdot X)\Big)dX$$

for $(s_1,s_2)\in\mathbb{C}^2$, $Y\in\mathcal{SP}_3, A\in\mathbb{R}^{2\times1}$ and $p_{s_1,s_2}(Y):=|Y_1|^{s_1}|Y_2|^{s_2}$ where $Y_j\in\mathcal{SP}_j$ is the $j\times j$ upper left hand corner in Y, $j=1,2$. Here as usual, we denote by $\mathcal{SP}_n$ the collection of rank n positive quadratic forms of determinant 1. Set also

$$\begin{aligned}
\Lambda(s,r) =&\pi^{-(s-\frac{r}{2})}\Gamma(s-\frac{r}{2})\pi^{-(s-\frac{1-r}{2})}\Gamma(s-\frac{1-r}{2}),\\
\alpha_0 =&\frac{\Lambda(s,r)}{B(\frac12,\frac12-r)},\qquad \alpha_0'=\frac{\Lambda(s,r)}{B(\frac12,r-\frac12)},\qquad \alpha_{k\neq0}=\Lambda(s,r)\frac{\sigma_{1-2r}(k)}{\zeta(2r)},\\
c(s,r) =&\xi(2r)\xi(2s-r)\xi(2s-1+r)\cdot E(\frac{U}{\sqrt{|U|}};r)|U|^{-s}\qquad\text{with}\\
E(V;r) =&\frac12\sum_{\gcd(a)=1}V[a]^{-r},\qquad \mathrm{Re}(r)>1.
\end{aligned}$$

Proposition. ([IT]) *With the same notation as above, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Lambda(s,r)\cdot E^0\Bigl(\begin{pmatrix}U & 0\\ 0 & w\end{pmatrix}\begin{bmatrix}I_2 & x\\ 0 & 1\end{bmatrix};r,s\Bigr)\\
=&c(s,r)+c(\frac{6-2s-3r}{4},s-\frac{r}{2})+c(\frac{3+3r-2s}{4},s-\frac{1-r}{2})\\
&+\sum_{A\in \mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{Z})/P(1,1)}\Bigl(\sum_{c,d_2\in\mathbb{Z}_{>0},d_1\in\mathbb{Z}\backslash\{0\}}\Bigl[\alpha_0'\,c^{2-2s-r}\,d_2^{r-2s}\exp\Bigl(2\pi i x^tA\cdot\begin{pmatrix}cd_1\\ 0\end{pmatrix}\Bigr)\\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\cdot k_{2,1}(\begin{pmatrix}A^{-1}UA^{-t} & 0\\ 0 & w\end{pmatrix};s-\frac{r}{2},r;\pi\begin{pmatrix}cd_1\\ 0\end{pmatrix})\\
&\quad+\alpha_0\,c^{1-2s+r}\,d_2^{1-r-2s}\exp\Bigl(2\pi i x^tA\begin{pmatrix}cd_1\\ 0\end{pmatrix}\Bigr)\\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\cdot k_{2,1}\Bigl(\begin{pmatrix}A^{-1}UA^{-t} & 0\\ 0 & w\end{pmatrix};s-\frac{1-r}{2},1-r;\pi\begin{pmatrix}cd_1\\ 0\end{pmatrix}\Bigr)\Bigr]\\
&+\sum_{k\neq 0}\sum_{c,d_2\in\mathbb{Z}_{>0},d_2|k,d_1\in\mathbb{Z}\backslash\{0\}}\alpha_k\,c^{2-2s-r}\,d_2^{r-2s}\exp\Bigl(2\pi i x^tA\begin{pmatrix}cd_1\\ ck/d_2\end{pmatrix}\Bigr)\\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\cdot k_{2,1}\Bigl(\begin{pmatrix}A^{-1}UA^{-t} & 0\\ 0 & w\end{pmatrix};s-\frac{r}{2},r;\pi\begin{pmatrix}cd_1\\ ck/d_2\end{pmatrix}\Bigr)\Bigr),
\end{aligned}$$

where $P(1,1)$ is the subgroup of upper triangle matrices of determinant 1. Similar Fourier expansion holds for $E^0(E(z;s),t)$ with respect to P_2.

II.3.4. Non-abelian Contributions

To see where non-abelian contribution come, we go as follows. (We reminder the reader that the purpose of this example is to motivate the discussion of non-abelian L-functions in Ch. III.)

First, for simplicity, consider the geometric truncated fundamental domain of $\Gamma := SL(3,\mathbb{Z})$ obtained by cutting off the cusp regions corresponding to P_1, P_2 and P_0. Put $\Gamma_j = \Gamma\cap P_j, j = 0,1,2$ and $\Gamma_{N_0} = \Gamma\cap N_0$. Then the fundamental domain F_* in $S := SL(3,\mathbb{R})/SO(3,\mathbb{R})$ for the groups $* = \Gamma_0,\Gamma_1,\Gamma_2,\Gamma_{N_0}$ may be choosen to be

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{N_0} :=&\{Y\in S : y_1>0, u_1>0, -1/2<v_1,x_1,t_1<1/2\};\\
F_0 :=&\{Y\in F_{N_0} : v_1+x_1>0, v_1+t_1>0, x_1+t_1>0\};\\
F_j :=&\{Y\in F_0 : v_j^2+u_j^2\geq 1\},\qquad j=1,2.
\end{aligned}$$

With this, one checks that there exists a compact set $F^0\subset S$ such that

$$F_1\cap F_2 = F^0\cup F$$

where F denotes the fundamental domain of Γ. That is to say, the cusp regions for P_j, $j = 0,1,2$ in the fundamental region F of $SL(3,\mathbb{Z})$ may be read from F_1 and F_2.

Set also $D_j^T := \{Y = \{y_j; z_j, x_j, t_j\}\in F : y_j\geq T\}, j = 1,2$ for sufficient large $T > 0$. With this, then we get a geometric truncated compact subset in F by cutting off the neighborhood of cusps along F_1 and F_2, so as to get $F_T := F\backslash(D_1^T\cup D_2^T)$. Note that $D_0^T := D_1^T\cap D_2^T$ gives a neighborhood for the cusps with respect to P_0. Thus, we may analytically understand this geometric truncation as

$$1_{F_T} = 1_F - 1_{D_1^T} - 1_{D_2^T} + 1_{D_0^T},$$

which is compactible with the truncations in the next chapter.

Secondly, let us simply look at the contributions of standard parabolic subgroups so as to get the analytic truncation

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Lambda_T\hat{E}^0(Y;s,t):\\
=&\hat{E}^0(Y;s,t)-\hat{E}^0_{P_1}(Y;s,t)\cdot 1_{D_1^T}-\hat{E}^0_{P_2}(Y;s,t)\cdot 1_{D_2^T}+\hat{E}^0_{P_0}(Y;s,t)\cdot 1_{D_0^T}\\
=&\Bigl(\bigl(\hat{E}^0(Y;s,t)-\hat{E}^0_{P_1}(Y;s,t)\cdot 1_{D_1^T}\bigr)+\bigl(\hat{E}^0(Y;s,t)-\hat{E}^0_{P_2}(Y;s,t)\cdot 1_{D_2^T}\bigr)\Bigr)\\
&\quad-\Bigl(\hat{E}^0(Y;s,t)-\hat{E}^0_{P_0}(Y;s,t)\cdot 1_{D_0^T}\Bigr)\\
=&H^0_{P_1}(Y;s,t)+H^0_{P_2}(Y;s,t)-H^0_{P_0}(Y;s,t).
\end{aligned}$$

Here

$$H^0_{P_j}(Y;s,t) := \hat{E}^0(Y;s,t) - \hat{E}^0_{P_j}(Y;s,t)\cdot 1_{D_j^T}, \qquad j=1,2,0$$

denotes the non-constant part of the corresponding Fourier expansion.

Thirdly, we want to know the integration $\int_{F_T}\hat{E}^0(Y;s,t)d\mu(Y)$. For this, we go as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{F_T}\hat{E}^0(Y;s,t)d\mu(Y) =& \int_F \Lambda^T\hat{E}^0(Y;s,t)d\mu(Y) - \int_{F\backslash F_T}\Lambda^T E^0(Y;s,t)d\mu(Y)\\ =& \int_F \Lambda^T\hat{E}^0(Y;s,t)d\mu(Y) - \int_{F^T}\Lambda^T \hat{E}^0(Y;s,t)d\mu(Y),\end{aligned}$$

where $F^T := F\backslash F_T = D_1^T \cup D_2^T$.

Finally, let us look at the structure of this latest expression:
(A) (*Abelian Part: Application of Rankin-Selberg Method*) By the Rankin-Selberg method, in particular, the version generalized by Langlands and Arthur, (see Ch. IV below where a detailed formula is given,) the part $\int_F \Lambda^T\hat{E}^0(Y;s,t)d\mu(Y)$, being the integration of analytic truncated Eisenstein series on the whole fundamental domain of $SL(3,\mathbb{Z})$, is essentially abelian;

Thus, it suffices to know the structure of $\int_{F^T}\Lambda^T\hat{E}^0(Y;s,t)d\mu(Y)$. Clearly,

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{F^T}\Lambda^T\hat{E}^0(Y;s,t)d\mu(Y)\\ =&\int_{D_1^T}\Lambda^T\hat{E}^0(Y;s,t)d\mu(Y)+\int_{D_2^T}\Lambda^T\hat{E}^0(Y;s,t)d\mu(Y)-\int_{D_0^T}\Lambda^T\hat{E}^0(Y;s,t)d\mu(Y)\\ =&\int_{D_1^T}\Big(H^0_{P_1}(Y;s,t)+H^0_{P_2}(Y;s,t)-H^0_{P_0}(Y;s,t)\Big)d\mu(Y)\\ &\qquad+\int_{D_2^T}\Big(H^0_{P_1}(Y;s,t)+H^0_{P_2}(Y;s,t)-H^0_{P_0}(Y;s,t)\Big)d\mu(Y)\\ &\qquad\qquad-\int_{D_0^T}\Big(H^0_{P_1}(Y;s,t)+H^0_{P_2}(Y;s,t)-H^0_{P_0}(Y;s,t)\Big)d\mu(Y)\\ =&I_1^T(s,t)+I_2^T(s,t)-I_0^T(s,t),\end{aligned}$$

where

$$I_j^T(s,t) := \int_{D_j^T}\Big(H^0_{P_1}(Y;s,t)+H^0_{P_2}(Y;s,t)-H^0_{P_0}(Y;s,t)\Big)d\mu(Y), j=0,1,2.$$

(B) (*Terms obtained from Lower Rank Non-Abelian Zeta: Induction on the Rank*)
Consider the integrations

$$I_j^T(s,t) := \int_{D_1^T}\Big(H^0_{P_1}(Y;s,t)+H^0_{P_2}(Y;s,t)-H^0_{P_0}(Y;s,t)\Big)d\mu(Y), j=0,1,2.$$

If the fundamental domain F is chosen so that F is of exact box shape as Y approaches to all levels of cusps, we have

$$\int_{D_j^T} H_{P_j}(Y;s,t)=0.$$

(This choice of fundamental domain is possible by a result of Grenier recalled in [T]. From now on, we always assume that this condition for the fundamental domain holds.) Then what left is to consider the following integrations:

$$\begin{aligned}II_1^T(s,t) :=& \int_{D_1^T}\Big(H^0_{P_2}(Y;s,t)-H^0_{P_0}(Y;s,t)\Big)d\mu(Y);\\ II_2^T(s,t) :=& \int_{D_2^T}\Big(H^0_{P_1}(Y;s,t)-H^0_{P_0}(Y;s,t)\Big)d\mu(Y);\\ III^T(s,t) :=& \int_{D_0^T}\Big(H^0_{P_1}(Y;s,t)+H^0_{P_2}(Y;s,t)\Big)d\mu(Y).\end{aligned}$$

By the structures of D_1^T, D_2^T, we then see that $II_i^T(s,t)$ are essentially rank two zeta functions, which may be understood via an induction argument. So we are left with only

$$III^T(s,t) := \int_{D_0^T} \Big(H_{P_1}^0(Y;s,t) + H_{P_2}^0(Y;s,t)\Big) d\mu(Y),$$

which in the case of rank 3, is the only essential non-abelian contribution, as indicated below.

(C) (*Essential Non-abelain Contributions: New Ingredients*)

$III^T(s,t)$ gives naturally the non-abelian contribution: Indeed, by definition, $H_{P_i}^0(Y;s,y), i = 1,2$ are the non-constant terms of the Fourier expansion of $\hat{E}^0(Y;s,t)$ along P_i, $i = 1,2$. Moreover, the integration is taken to be the cusp region corresponding to the minimal parabolic subgroup P_0, which are proper subgroups of P_1 and P_2. By the result of Imai and Terras cited above, they consist of matrix version of k-Bessal functions.

Up to this point, we see then clearly what a new direction should we go: while the classical Rankin-Selberg method gives a natural way to single out abelian contributions out of non-abelian data, we should treat both abelian and non-abelian parts uniformly in order to see their intrinsic structures.

Chapter III. New Non-Abelian L-Functions

III.1. Geo-Arithmetical Truncation

III.1.1. Slopes, Canonical Filtrations

Following Lafforgue [Laf], we call an abelian category $\mathcal{A}$ together with two additive morphisms

$$\mathrm{rank} : \mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{N}, \qquad \deg : \mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{R}$$

a category with slope structure. In particular, for non-zero $A \in \mathcal{A}$,
(1) define the slope of A by

$$\mu(A) := \frac{\deg(A)}{\mathrm{rank} A};$$

(2) If $0 = A_0 \subset A_1 \subset \cdots \subset A_l = A$ is a filtration of A in $\mathcal{A}$ with $\mathrm{rank}(A_0) < \mathrm{rank}(A_1) < \cdots < \mathrm{rank}(A_l)$, then define the associated polygon to be the continuous function $[0, \mathrm{rank} A] \to \mathbb{R}$ such that
(i) its values at 0 and $\mathrm{rank}(A)$ are 0;
(ii) it is affine with slope $\mu(A_i/A_{i-1}) - \mu(A)$ on the intervals $[\mathrm{rank}(A_{i-1}), \mathrm{rank}(A_i)]$ for all $1 \leq i \leq l$,
(3) If $\mathfrak{a}$ is a collection of subobjects of A in $\mathcal{A}$, then $\mathfrak{a}$ is said to be nice if
(i) $\mathfrak{a}$ is stable under intersection and finite summation;
(ii) $\mathfrak{a}$ is Noetherian in the sense that every increasing chain of elements in $\mathfrak{a}$ has a maximal element in $\mathfrak{a}$;
(iii) if $A_1 \in \mathfrak{a}$ then $A_1 \neq 0$ if and only if $\mathrm{rank}(A_1) \neq 0$;
(iv) for $A_1, A_2 \in \mathfrak{a}$ with $\mathrm{rank}(A_1) = \mathrm{rank}(A_2)$. Then $A_1 \subset A_2$ is proper implies that $\deg(A_1) < \deg(A_2)$;
(4) For any nice $\mathfrak{a}$, set $\mu^+(A) := \sup\{\mu(A_1) : A_1 \in \mathfrak{a}, \mathrm{rank}(A_1) \geq 1\}$, $\mu^-(A) := \inf\{\mu(A/A_1) : A_1 \in \mathfrak{a}, \mathrm{rank}(A_1) < \mathrm{rank}(A)\}$. Then we say $(A, \mathfrak{a})$ is semi-stable if $\mu^+(A) = \mu(A) = \mu^-(A)$. Moreover if $\mathrm{rank}(A) = 0$ set also $\mu^+(A) = -\infty$ and $\mu^-(A) = +\infty$.

Proposition 1. ([Laf]) *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a category with slope, A an object in $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathfrak{a}$ a nice family of subobjects of A in $\mathcal{A}$. Then*
(1) A admits a unique filtration $0 = \bar{A}_0 \subset \bar{A}_1 \subset \cdots \subset \bar{A}_l = A$ with elements in $\mathfrak{a}$ such that
(i) $\bar{A}_i, 0 \leq i \leq k$ are maximal in $\mathfrak{a}$;
(ii) $\bar{A}_i/\bar{A}_{i-1}$ are semi-stable;
(iii) $\mu(\bar{A}_1/\bar{A}_0) > \mu(\bar{A}_2/\bar{A}_1 > \cdots > \mu(\bar{A}_k/\bar{A}_{k-1})$.
We call such a filtration the canonical filtration of $(A, \mathfrak{a})$;
(2) All polygons of filtrations of A with elements in $\mathfrak{a}$ are bounded from above by $\bar{p}$, where $\bar{p} := \bar{p}^A$ be the associated polygon for the canonical filtration in (1);
(3) For any $A_1 \in \mathfrak{a}$, $\mathit{rank}(A_1) \geq 1$ implies $\mu(A_1) \leq \mu(A) + \bar{p}(\mathit{rank}(A_1))/\mathit{rank}(A_1)$;
(4) The polygon $\bar{p}$ is convex with maximal slope $\mu^+(A) - \mu(A)$ and minimal slope $\mu^-(A) - \mu(A)$;
(5) If $(A', \mathfrak{a}')$ is another pair, and $u : A \to A'$ is a homomorphism such that $\mathit{Ker} u \in \mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathit{Im} u \in \mathfrak{a}'$. Then $\mu^-(A) \geq \mu^+(A')$ implies that $u = 0$.

Proof. This results from a Harder-Narasimhan type filtration consideration. A detailed proof may be found at pp. 87-88 in [Laf].

Proposition 2. *Let F be a number field. Then*
(1) the abelian category of hermitian vector sheaves on Spec$\mathcal{O}_F$ together with the natural rank and the Arakelov degree is a category with slopes;
(2) For any hermitian vector sheaf (E,ρ), $\mathfrak{a}$ consisting of pairs (E_1,ρ_1) with E_1 sub vector sheaves of E and ρ_1 the restriction of ρ forms a nice family.

Proof. (1) is obvious, while (2) is a direct consequence of the following standard fact: For a fixed (E,ρ), $\{\deg(E_1,\rho_1):(E_1,\rho_1)\in\mathfrak{a}\}$ is discrete subset of $\mathbb{R}$.

Thus in particular we get the canonical filtration of Harder-Narasimhan type for hermitian vector sheaves over Spec$\mathcal{O}_F$, which are indeed $\mathcal{O}_F$-lattices in $(\mathbb{R}^{r_1}\times\mathbb{C}^{r_2})^{r=\mathrm{rank}(E)}$ induced from the natural embedding $F^r\hookrightarrow(\mathbb{R}^{r_1}\times\mathbb{C}^{r_2})^r$ where r_1 (resp. r_2) denotes the real (resp. complex) embeddings of F.

III.1.2. Canonical Polygons and Geo-Arithmetical Truncation

Let $X=\mathrm{Spec}\mathcal{O}_F$. If E is a vector sheaf of rank r over X, i.e, a locally free $\mathcal{O}_F$-sheaf of rank r, denote by E_F the fiber of E at the generic point $\mathrm{Spec}(F)\hookrightarrow\mathrm{Spec}\mathcal{O}_F$ of X (E_F is an F-vector space of dimension r), and for each $v\in S_f$, set $E_{\mathcal{O}_v}:=H^0(\mathrm{Spec}\mathcal{O}_{F_v},E)$ a free $\mathcal{O}_v$-module of rank r. In particular, we have a canonical isomorphism:

$$\mathrm{can}_v:F_v\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_v}E_{\mathcal{O}_v}\simeq F_v\otimes_F E_F.$$

Now if E is a vector sheaf of rank r over X equipped with a basis $\alpha_F:F^r\simeq E_F$ of its generic fiber and a basis $\alpha_{\mathcal{O}_v}:\mathcal{O}_v^r\simeq E_{\mathcal{O}_v}$ for any $v\in S_f:=S_{\mathrm{fin}}$, the elements $g_v:=(F_v\otimes_F\alpha_F)^{-1}\circ\mathrm{can}_v\circ(F_v\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_v}\alpha_{\mathcal{O}_v})\in GL_r(F_v)$ for all $v\in S_f$ define an element $g_{\mathbb{A}}:=(g_v)_{v\in S_f}$ of $GL_r(\mathbb{A}_f)$, i.e, for almost every v we have $g_v\in GL_r(\mathcal{O}_v)$. By this construction, we obtain a bijection from the set of isomorphism classes of triples $(E;\alpha_F;(\alpha_{\mathcal{O}_v})_{v\in S_f})$ as above onto $GL_r(\mathbb{A}_f)$. Moreover, if $r\in GL_r(F),k\in GL_r(\mathcal{O}_F)$ and if this bijection maps the triple $(E;\alpha_F;(\alpha_{\mathcal{O}_v})_{v\in S_f})$ onto $g_{\mathbb{A}}$, the same map maps the triple $(E;\alpha_F\circ r^{-1};(\alpha_{\mathcal{O}_v}\circ k_v)_{v\in S_f})$ onto $rg_{\mathbb{A}}k$. Therefore the above bijection induces a bijection between the set of isomorphism classes of vector sheaves of rank r on Spec$\mathcal{O}_F$ and the double coset space $GL_r(F)\backslash GL_r(\mathbb{A}_f)/GL_r(\mathcal{O}_F)$.

More generally, let $r=r_1+\cdots+r_s$ be a partition $I=(r_1,\cdots,r_s)$ of r and let P_I be the corresponding standard parabolic subgroup of GL_r. Then we have a natural bijection from the set of isomorphism classes of triple $(E_*;\alpha_{*,F}:(\alpha_{*,\mathcal{O}_v})_{v\in S_f})$ onto $P_I(\mathbb{A}_f)$, where $E_*:=\big((0)=E_0\subset E_1\subset\cdots\subset E_s\big)$ is a filtration of vector sheaves of rank $(r_1,r_1+r_2,\cdots,r_1+r_2+\cdots+r_s=r)$ over X, (i.e, each E_j is a vector sheaf of rank $r_1+r_2+\cdots+r_j$ over X and each quotient E_j/E_{j-1} is torsion free,) which is equipped with an isomorphism of filtrations of F-vector spaces

$$\alpha_{*,F}:\big((0)=F_0\subset F^{r_1}\subset\cdots\subset F^{r_1+r_2+\cdots+r_s=r}\big)\simeq(E_*)_F,$$

and with an isomorphism of filtrations of free $\mathcal{O}_v$-modules

$$\alpha_{*,\mathcal{O}_v}:\big((0)\subset\mathcal{O}_v^{r_1}\subset\cdots\subset\mathcal{O}_v^{r_1+r_2+\cdots+r_s=r}\big)\simeq(E_*)_{\mathcal{O}_v},$$

for every $v\in S_f$. Moreover this bijection induces a bijection between the set of isomorphism classes of the filtrations of vector sheaves of rank $(r_1,r_1+r_2,\cdots,r_1+r_2+\cdots+r_s=r)$ over X and the double coset space $P_I(F)\backslash P_I(\mathbb{A}_f)/P_I(\mathcal{O}_F)$. The natural embedding $P_I(\mathbb{A}_f)\hookrightarrow P_I(\mathbb{A})$ (resp. the canonical projection $P_I(\mathbb{A}_f)\to M_I(\mathbb{A}_f)\to GL_{r_j}(\mathbb{A}_f)$ for $j=1,\cdots,s$, where M_I denotes the standard Levi of P_I) admits the modular interpretation

$$(E_*;\alpha_{*,F}:(\alpha_{*,\mathcal{O}_v})_{v\in S_f})\mapsto(E_s;\alpha_{s,F}:(\alpha_{s,\mathcal{O}_v})_{v\in S_f})$$

(resp.

$$(E_*;\alpha_{*,F}:(\alpha_{*,\mathcal{O}_v})_{v\in S_f})\mapsto(\mathrm{gr}_j(E_*);\mathrm{gr}_j(\alpha_{*,F}),\mathrm{gr}_j(\alpha_{*,\mathcal{O}_v})_{v\in S_f}),$$

where $\mathrm{gr}_j(E_*):=E_j/E_{j-1}$, $\mathrm{gr}_j(\alpha_{*,F}):F^{r_j}\simeq\mathrm{gr}_j(E_*)_F$ and $\mathrm{gr}_j(\alpha_{*,\mathcal{O}_v}):{\mathcal{O}_v}^{r_j}\simeq\mathrm{gr}_j(E_*)_{\mathcal{O}_v}$, $v\in S_f$ are induced by $\alpha_{*,F}$ and $\alpha_{*,\mathcal{O}_v}$ respectively.)

Moreover, any $g=(g_f;g_\infty)\in GL_r(\mathbb{A}_f)\times GL_r(\mathbb{A}_\infty)=GL_r(\mathbb{A})$ gives first a rank r vector sheaf E_g on Spec$\mathcal{O}_F$, which via the embedding $E_F\hookrightarrow(\mathbb{R}^{r_1}\times\mathbb{C}^{r_2})^r$ gives a discrete subgroup, a free rank r $\mathcal{O}_F$-module. In particular, $g_\infty=(g_\sigma)$ then induces a natural metric on E_g by twisting the standard one on $(\mathbb{R}^{r_1}\times\mathbb{C}^{r_2})^r$ via the linear transformation induced from g_∞. As a direct consequence, see e.g, [L3],

$$\deg(E_g,\rho_g)=-\log\big(N(\det g)\big)$$

with $N : GL_1(\mathbb{A}_F) = \mathbb{I}_F \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ the standard norm map of the idelic group of F.

With this, for $g = (g_f; g_\infty) \in GL_r(\mathbb{A})$ and a parabolic subgroup Q of GL_r, denote by $E_*^{g;Q}$ the filtration of the vector sheaf E_{g_f} induced by the parabolic subgroup Q. Then we have a filtration of hermitian vector sheaves $(E_*^{g;Q}, \rho_*^{g;Q})$ with the hermitian metrics $\rho_j^{g;Q}$ on $E_j^{g;Q}$ obtained via the restrictions of ρ_{g_∞}.

Now introduce an associated polygon $p_Q^g : [0, r] \to \mathbb{R}$ by the following 3 conditions:
(i) $p_Q^g(0) = p_Q^g(r) = 0$;
(ii) p_Q^g is affine on the interval $[\mathrm{rank}E_{i-1}^{g;Q}, \mathrm{rank}E_i^{g;Q}]$; and
(iii) for all indices i,

$$p_Q^g(\mathrm{rank}E_i^{g;Q}) = \deg(E_i^{g;Q}, \rho_i^{g;Q}) - \frac{\mathrm{rank}E_i^{g;Q}}{r} \cdot \deg(E_g, \rho_g).$$

Then by Prop. 2 of III.1.1, there is a unique convex polygon $\bar{p}^g$ which bounds all p_Q^g from above for all parabolic subgroups Q for GL_r. Moreover there exists a parabolic subgroup $\bar{Q}^g$ such that $p_{\bar{Q}^g}^g = \bar{p}^g$.

Hence we have the following foundamental

Main Lemma. *For any fixed polygon $p : [0, r] \to \mathbb{R}$ and any $d \in \mathbb{R}$, the subset*

$$\{g \in GL_r(F)\backslash GL_r(\mathbb{A}) : deg\, g = d, \bar{p}^g \leq p\}$$

is compact.

Proof. This is a restatement of the classical reduction theory. It consists of two parts: In terms of $\mathcal{O}_F$-lattices, by fixing the degree, we get a fixed volume for the free rank r $\mathcal{O}_F$-lattice corresponding to (E_g, ρ_g), by the Arakelov Riemann-Roch [L3]. Thus the condition $\bar{p}^g \leq p$ gives an upper bound for the volumes of all the sublattices of (E_g, ρ_g) and hence all the Minkowski successive minimas.

On the other hand, the reduction theory in terms of adelic language also tells us that the fiber of the natural map from $GL_r(F)\backslash GL_r(\mathbb{A})$ to isomorphism classes of $\mathcal{O}_F$-lattices are all compact. (This is in fact generally true for all reductive groups, a result due to Borel [Bo1,2]. However, our case where only GL_r is involved is rather obvious: essentially, the fibers are the compact subgroup $GL_r(\mathcal{O}_F) \times SO_r(\mathbb{R})^{r_1} \times SU_r(\mathbb{C})^{r_2}$.) This completes the proof.

Similarly yet more generally, for a fixed parabolic subgroup P of GL_r and $g \in GL_r(\mathbb{A})$, there is a unique maximal element $\bar{p}_P^g$ among all p_Q^g, where Q runs over all parabolic subgroups of GL_r which are contaiend in P. And we have

Main Lemma$'$. *For any fixed polygon $p : [0, r] \to \mathbb{R}$, $d \in \mathbb{R}$ and any standard parabolic subgroup P of GL_r, the subset*

$$\{g \in GL_r(F)\backslash GL_r(\mathbb{A}) : deg\, g = d, \bar{p}_P^g \leq p, p_P^g \geq -p\}$$

is compact.

III.1.3. Relation to Arthur's Analytic Truncation

Let $p, q : [0, r] \to \mathbb{R}$ be two polygons and P a standard parabolic subgroup of GL_r. Then we say $q >_P p$ if for any $1 \leq i \leq |P|$,

$$q(\mathrm{rank}E_i^P) > p(\mathrm{rank}E_i^P)$$

where $(r_1, \cdots, r_{|P|})$ denotes the partition of r corresponding to P. Also as usual denote by $\mathbf{1}$ the characteristic function of the variable $g \in GL_r(\mathbb{A})$. For example,

$$\mathbf{1}(\bar{p}^g \leq p)(g) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } p^g \leq p \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proposition. *For any convex polygon $p : [0, r] \to \mathbb{R}$, as a function of $g \in GL_r(\mathbb{A})$,*

$$\mathbf{1}(\bar{p}^g \leq p) = \sum_{P \supset P_0} (-1)^{|P|-1} \sum_{\delta \in P(F)\backslash GL_r(F)} \mathbf{1}(p_P^{\delta g} >_P p).$$

Here P runs over all standard parabolic subgpoups of GL_r.

Proof. For curves over finite fields, this result is due to Lafforgue, which parallel to Arthur's analytic truncation in trace formula.

The basic idea is very simple: When the intersection stability breaks down, there should be naturally a parabolic subgroup which takes responsibility. With this, the detailed proof can easily be given with the reference to pp.221-222 in [La]. We leave it to the reader.

III.2. Non-Abelian L-Functions

III.2.1. Choice of Moduli Spaces

For the number field F with discriminant Δ_F, and for a fixed $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, we take the moduli space to be

$$\mathcal{M}_{F,r}^{\leq p}[|\Delta_F|^{\frac{r}{2}}] := \{g \in GL_r(F)\backslash GL_r(\mathbb{A}) : \deg g = -\frac{r}{2}\log|\Delta_F|, \bar{p}^g \leq p\}$$

for a fixed convex polygon $p : [0, r] \to \mathbb{R}$. Also we denote by $d\mu$ the induced Tamagawa measures on $\mathcal{M}_{F,r}^{\leq p}[|\Delta_F|^{\frac{r}{2}}]$. For example, $p = 0$ coincides the semi-stability introduced in Ch. I.

More generally, for any standard parabolic subgroup P of GL_r, we introduce the moduli spaces

$$\mathcal{M}_{F,r}^{P;\leq p}[|\Delta_F|^{\frac{r}{2}}] := \{g \in P(F)\backslash GL_r(\mathbb{A}) : \deg g = -\frac{r}{2}\log|\Delta_F|, \bar{p}_P^g \leq p, \bar{p}_P^g \geq -p\}.$$

By the discussion in III.1, these moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}_{F,r}^{P;\leq p}[|\Delta_F|^{\frac{r}{2}}]$ are all compact, a key property which plays a central role in our definition of non-abelian L-functions below.

III.2.2. Choice of Eisenstein Series: First Approach to Non-Abelian L-Function

To faciliate our ensuing discussion, we start with some preperations. We follow [MW] closely.

Fix a connected reduction group G defined over F, denote by Z_G its center. Fix a minimal parabolic subgroup P_0 of G. Then $P_0 = M_0U_0$, where as usual we fix once and for all the Levi M_0 and the unipotent radical U_0. A parabolic subgroup P is G is called standard if $P \supset P_0$. For such groups write $P = MU$ with $M_0 \subset M$ the standard Levi and U the unipotent radical. Denote by $\mathrm{Rat}(M)$ the group of rational characters of M, i.e, the morphism $M \to \mathbb{G}_m$ where $\mathbb{G}_m$ denotes the multiplicative group. Set

$$\mathfrak{a}_M^* := \mathrm{Rat}(M) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}, \qquad \mathfrak{a}_M := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathrm{Rat}(M), \mathbb{C}),$$

and

$$\mathrm{Re}\mathfrak{a}_M^* := \mathrm{Rat}(M) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}, \qquad \mathrm{Re}\mathfrak{a}_M := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathrm{Rat}(M), \mathbb{R}).$$

For any $\chi \in \mathrm{Rat}(M)$, we obtain a (real) character $|\chi| : M(\mathbb{A}) \to \mathbb{R}^*$ defined by $m = (m_v) \mapsto m^{|\chi|} := \prod_{v \in S} |m_v|_v^{\chi_v}$ with $|\cdot|_v$ the v-absolute values. Set then $M(\mathbb{A})^1 := \cap_{\chi \in \mathrm{Rat}(M)} \mathrm{Ker}|\chi|$, which is a normal subgroup of $M(\mathbb{A})$. Set X_M to be the group of complex characters which are trivial on $M(\mathbb{A})^1$. Denote by $H_M := \log_M : M(\mathbb{A}) \to \mathfrak{a}_M$ the map such that $\forall \chi \in \mathrm{Rat}(M) \subset \mathfrak{a}_M^*, \langle \chi, \log_M(m)\rangle := \log(m^{|\chi|})$. Clearly,

$$M(\mathbb{A})^1 = \mathrm{Ker}(\log_M); \qquad \log_M(M(\mathbb{A})/M(\mathbb{A})^1) \simeq \mathrm{Re}\mathfrak{a}_M.$$

Hence in particular there is a natural isomorphism $\kappa : \mathfrak{a}_M^* \simeq X_M$. Set

$$\mathrm{Re}X_M := \kappa(\mathrm{Re}\mathfrak{a}_M^*), \qquad \mathrm{Im}X_M := \kappa(i \cdot \mathrm{Re}\mathfrak{a}_M^*).$$

Moreover define our working space X_M^G to be the subgroup of X_M consisting of complex characters of $M(\mathbb{A})/M(\mathbb{A})^1$ which are trivial on $Z_{G(\mathbb{A})}$.

Fix a maximal compact sungroup $\mathbb{K}$ such that for all standard parabolic subgroups $P = MU$ as above, $P(\mathbb{A}) \cap \mathbb{K} = M(\mathbb{A}) \cap \mathbb{K} \cdot U(\mathbb{A}) \cap \mathbb{K}$. Hence we get the Langlands decomposition $G(\mathbb{A}) = M(\mathbb{A}) \cdot U(\mathbb{A}) \cdot \mathbb{K}$. Denote by $m_P : G(\mathbb{A}) \to M(\mathbb{A})/M(\mathbb{A})^1$ the map $g = m \cdot n \cdot k \mapsto M(\mathbb{A})^1 \cdot m$ where $g \in G(\mathbb{A}), m \in M(\mathbb{A}), n \in U(\mathbb{A})$ and $k \in \mathbb{K}$.

Fix Haar measures on $M_0(\mathbb{A}), U_0(\mathbb{A}), \mathbb{K}$ respectively such that

(1) the induced measure on $M(F)$ is the counting measure and the volume of the induced measure on $M(F)\backslash M(\mathbb{A})^1$ is 1. (Recall that it is a fundamental fact that $M(F)\backslash M(\mathbb{A})^1$ is compact.)

(2) the induced measure on $U_0(F)$ is the counting measure and the volume of $U(F)\backslash U_0(\mathbb{A})$ is 1. (Recall that being unipotent radical, $U(F)\backslash U_0(\mathbb{A})$ is compact.)

(3) the volume of $\mathbb{K}$ is 1.

Such measures then also induce Haar measures via $\log_M$ to $\mathfrak{a}_{M_0}, \mathfrak{a}_{M_0}^*$, etc. Furthermore, if we denote by ρ_0 the half of the sum of the positive roots of the maximal split torus T_0 of the central Z_{M_0} of M_0, then

$$f \mapsto \int_{M_0(\mathbb{A})\cdot U_0(\mathbb{A})\cdot \mathbb{K}} f(mnk)\, dk\, dn\, m^{-2\rho_0} dm$$

defined for continuous functions with compact supports on $G(\mathbb{A})$ defines a Haar measure dg on $G(\mathbb{A})$. This in turn gives measures on $M(\mathbb{A}), U(\mathbb{A})$ and hence on $\mathfrak{a}_M, \mathfrak{a}_M^*$, $P(\mathbb{A})$, etc, for all parabolic subgroups P. In particular, one checks that the following compactibility condition holds

$$\int_{M_0(\mathbb{A})\cdot U_0(\mathbb{A})\cdot \mathbb{K}} f(mnk)\, dk\, dn\, m^{-2\rho_0} dm = \int_{M(\mathbb{A})\cdot U(\mathbb{A})\cdot \mathbb{K}} f(mnk)\, dk\, dn\, m^{-2\rho_P} dm$$

for all continuous functions f with compact supports on $G(\mathbb{A})$, where ρ_P denotes the half of the sum of the positive roots of the maximal split torus T_P of the central Z_M of M. For later use, denote also by Δ_P the set of positive roots determined by (P, T_P) and $\Delta_0 = \Delta_{P_0}$.

Fix an isomorphism $T_0 \simeq \mathbb{G}_m^R$. Embed $\mathbb{R}_+^*$ by the map $t \mapsto (1; t)$. Then we obtain a natural injection $(\mathbb{R}_+^*)^R \hookrightarrow T_0(\mathbb{A})$ which splits. Denote by $A_{M_0(\mathbb{A})}$ the unique connected subgroup of $T_0(\mathbb{A})$ which projects onto $(\mathbb{R}_+^*)^R$. More generally, for a standard parabolic subgroup $P = MU$, set $A_{M(\mathbb{A})} := A_{M_0(\mathbb{A})} \cap Z_{M(\mathbb{A})}$ where as used above Z_* denotes the center of the group $*$. Clearly, $M(\mathbb{A}) = A_{M(\mathbb{A})} \cdot M(\mathbb{A})^1$. For later use, set also $A_{M(\mathbb{A})}^G := \{a \in A_{M(\mathbb{A})} : \log_G a = 0\}$. Then $A_{M(\mathbb{A})} = A_{G(\mathbb{A})} \oplus A_{M(\mathbb{A})}^G$.

Note that $\mathbb{K}$, $M(F)\backslash M(\mathbb{A})^1$ and $U(F)\backslash U(\mathbb{A})$ are all compact, thus with the Langlands decomposition $G(\mathbb{A}) = U(\mathbb{A})M(\mathbb{A})\mathbb{K}$ in mind, the reduction theory for $G(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A})$ or more generally $P(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A})$ is reduced to that for $A_{M(\mathbb{A})}$ since $Z_G(F) \cap Z_{G(\mathbb{A})} \backslash Z_{G(\mathbb{A})} \cap G(\mathbb{A})^1$ is compact as well. As such for $t_0 \in M_0(\mathbb{A})$ set

$$A_{M_0(\mathbb{A})}(t_0) := \{a \in A_{M_0(\mathbb{A})} : a^\alpha > t_0^\alpha \forall \alpha \in \Delta_0\}.$$

Then, for a fixed compact subset $\omega \subset P_0(\mathbb{A})$, we have the corresponding Siegel set

$$S(\omega; t_0) := \{p \cdot a \cdot k : p \in \omega, a \in A_{M_0(\mathbb{A})}(t_0), k \in \mathbb{K}\}.$$

In particular, for big enough ω and small enough t_0, i.e, t_0^α is very close to 0 for all $\alpha \in \Delta_0$, the classical reduction theory may be restated as $G(\mathbb{A}) = G(F) \cdot S(\omega; t_0)$. More generally set

$$A_{M_0(\mathbb{A})}^P(t_0) := \{a \in A_{M_0(\mathbb{A})} : a^\alpha > t_0^\alpha \forall \alpha \in \Delta_0^P\},$$

and

$$S^P(\omega; t_0) := \{p \cdot a \cdot k : p \in \omega, a \in A_{M_0(\mathbb{A})}^P(t_0), k \in \mathbb{K}\}.$$

Then similarly as above for big enough ω and small enough t_0, $G(\mathbb{A}) = P(F) \cdot S^P(\omega; t_0)$. (Here Δ_0^P denotes the set of positive roots for $(P_0 \cap M, T_0)$.)

Fix an embedding $i_G : G \hookrightarrow SL_n$ sending g to (g_{ij}). Introducing a hight function on $G(\mathbb{A})$ by setting $\|g\| := \prod_{v \in S} \sup\{|g_{ij}|_v : \forall i, j\}$. It is well-known that up to $O(1)$, hight functions are unique. This implies that the following growth conditions do not depend on the height function we choose.

A function $f : G(\mathbb{A}) \to \mathbb{C}$ is said to have moderate growth if there exist $c, r \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $|f(g)| \leq c \cdot \|g\|^r$ for all $g \in G(\mathbb{A})$. Similarly, for a standarde parabolic subgroup $P = MU$, a function $f : U(\mathbb{A})M(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}) \to \mathbb{C}$ is said to have moderate growth if there exist $c, r \in \mathbb{R}, \lambda \in \mathrm{Re} X_{M_0}$ such that for any $a \in A_{M(\mathbb{A})}, k \in \mathbb{K}, m \in M(\mathbb{A})^1 \cap S^P(\omega; t_0)$,

$$|f(amk)| \leq c \cdot \|a\|^r \cdot m_{P_0}(m)^\lambda.$$

Also a function $f : G(\mathbb{A}) \to \mathbb{C}$ is said to be smooth if for any $g = g_f \cdot g_\infty \in G(\mathbb{A}_f) \times G(\mathbb{A}_\infty)$, there exist open neighborhoods V_* of g_* in $G(\mathbb{A})$ and a C^∞-function $f' : V_\infty \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $f(g_f' \cdot g_\infty') = f'(g_\infty')$ for all $g_f' \in V_f$ and $g_\infty' \in V_\infty$.

By contrast, a function $f : S(\omega; t_0) \to \mathbb{C}$ is said to be rapidly decreasing if there exists $r > 0$ and for all $\lambda \in \mathrm{Re} X_{M_0}$ there exists $c > 0$ such that for $a \in A_{M(\mathbb{A})}, g \in G(\mathbb{A})^1 \cap S(\omega; t_0)$, $|\phi(ag)| \leq c \cdot \|a\| \cdot m_{P_0}(g)^\lambda$. And a function $f : G(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}) \to \mathbb{C}$ is said to be rapidly decreasing if $f|_{S(\omega; t_0)}$ is so.

By definition, a function $\phi : U(\mathbb{A})M(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}) \to \mathbb{C}$ is called automorphic if

(i) ϕ has moderate growth;

(ii) ϕ is smooth;
(iii) ϕ is $\mathbb{K}$-finite, i.e, the $\mathbb{C}$-span of all $\phi(k_1 \cdot * \cdot k_2)$ parametrized by $(k_1, k_2) \in \mathbb{K} \times \mathbb{K}$ is finite dimensional; and
(iv) ϕ is $\mathfrak{z}$-finite, i.e, the $\mathbb{C}$-span of all $\delta(X)\phi$ parametrized by all $X \in \mathfrak{z}$ is finite dimensional. Here $\mathfrak{z}$ denotes the center of the universal enveloping algebra $\mathfrak{u} := \mathfrak{U}(\mathrm{Lie}G(\mathbb{A}_\infty))$ of the Lie algebra of $G(\mathbb{A}_\infty)$ and $\delta(X)$ denotes the derivative of ϕ along X.

For such a function ϕ, set $\phi_k : M(F)\backslash M(\mathbb{A}) \to \mathbb{C}$ by $m \mapsto m^{-\rho_P}\phi(mk)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{K}$. Then one checks that ϕ_k is an automorphic form in the usual sense. Set $A(U(\mathbb{A})M(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}))$ be the space of automorphic forms on $U(\mathbb{A})M(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A})$.

For a measurable locally L^1-function $f : U(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}) \to \mathbb{C}$ define its constant term along with the standard parabolic subgroup $P = UM$ to be the function $f_P : U(\mathbb{A})\backslash G(\mathbb{A}) \to \mathbb{C}$ given by $g \to \int_{U(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A})} f(ng)dn$. Then an automorphic form $\phi \in A(U(\mathbb{A})M(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}))$ is called a cusp form if for any standard parabolci subgroup P' properly contained in P, $\phi_{P'} \equiv 0$. Denote by $A_0(U(\mathbb{A})M(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}))$ the space of cusp forms on $U(\mathbb{A})M(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A})$. One checks easily that
(i) all cusp forms are rapidly decreasing; and hence
(ii) there is a natural pairing

$$\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle : A_0(U(\mathbb{A})M(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A})) \times A(U(\mathbb{A})M(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A})) \to \mathbb{C}$$

defined by $\langle\psi,\phi\rangle := \int_{Z_{M(\mathbb{A})}U(\mathbb{A})M(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A})} \psi(g)\bar{\phi}(g)\, dg$.

Moreover, for a (complex) character $\xi : Z_{M(\mathbb{A})} \to \mathbb{C}^*$ of $Z_{M(\mathbb{A})}$ set

$$\begin{aligned} &A(U(\mathbb{A})M(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}))_\xi \\ :=&\{\phi \in A(U(\mathbb{A})M(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A})) : \phi(zg) = z^{\rho_P} \cdot \xi(z) \cdot \phi(g), \forall z \in Z_{M(\mathbb{A})}, g \in G(\mathbb{A})\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$A_0(U(\mathbb{A})M(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}))_\xi := A_0(U(\mathbb{A})M(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A})) \cap A(U(\mathbb{A})M(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}))_\xi.$$

Set now

$$A(U(\mathbb{A})M(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}))_Z := \sum_{\xi \in \mathrm{Hom}(Z_{M(\mathbb{A})},\mathbb{C}^*)} A(U(\mathbb{A})M(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}))_\xi$$

and

$$A_0(U(\mathbb{A})M(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}))_Z := \sum_{\xi \in \mathrm{Hom}(Z_{M(\mathbb{A})},\mathbb{C}^*)} A_0(U(\mathbb{A})M(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}))_\xi.$$

One checks that the natural morphism

$$\mathbb{C}[\mathrm{Re}\mathfrak{a}_M] \otimes A(U(\mathbb{A})M(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}))_Z \to A(U(\mathbb{A})M(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}))$$

defined by $(Q, \phi) \mapsto \big(g \mapsto Q(\log_M(m_P(g))\big) \cdot \phi(g)$ is an isomorphism, using the special structure of $A_{M(\mathbb{A})}$-finite functions and the Fourier analysis over the compact space $A_{M(\mathbb{A})}\backslash Z_{M(\mathbb{A})}$. Consequently, we also obtain a natural isomorphism

$$\mathbb{C}[\mathrm{Re}\mathfrak{a}_M] \otimes A_0(U(\mathbb{A})M(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}))_Z \to A_0(U(\mathbb{A})M(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}))_\xi.$$

Set also $\Pi_0(M(\mathbb{A}))_\xi$ be isomorphism classes of irreducible representations of $M(\mathbb{A})$ occuring in the space $A_0(M(F)\backslash M(\mathbb{A}))_\xi$, and

$$\Pi_0(M(\mathbb{A}) := \cup_{\xi \in \mathrm{Hom}(Z_{M(\mathbb{A})},\mathbb{C}^*)}\Pi_0(M(\mathbb{A}))_\xi.$$

(More precisely, we should use $M(\mathbb{A}_f) \times (M(\mathbb{A}) \cap \mathbb{K}, \mathrm{Lie}(M(\mathbb{A}_\infty)) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}))$ instead of $M(\mathbb{A})$.) For any $\pi \in \Pi_0(M(\mathbb{A}))_\xi$ set $A_0(M(F)\backslash M(\mathbb{A})_\pi$ to be the isotypic component of type π of $A_0(M(F)\backslash M(\mathbb{A})_\xi$, i.e, the set of cusp forms of $M(\mathbb{A})$ generating a semi-simple isotypic $M(\mathbb{A}_f) \times (M(\mathbb{A}) \cap \mathbb{K}, \mathrm{Lie}(M(\mathbb{A}_\infty)) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}))$-module of type π. Set

$$\begin{aligned} &A_0(U(\mathbb{A})M(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}))_\pi \\ :=&\{\phi \in A_0(U(\mathbb{A})M(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A})) : \phi_k \in A_0(M(F)\backslash M(\mathbb{A}))_\pi, \forall k \in \mathbb{K}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly

$$A_0(U(\mathbb{A})M(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}))_\xi = \oplus_{\pi \in \Pi_0(M(\mathbb{A}))_\xi} A_0(U(\mathbb{A})M(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}))_\pi.$$

More generally, let $V \subset A(M(F)\backslash M(\mathbb{A}))$ be an irreducible $M(\mathbb{A}_f) \times (M(\mathbb{A}) \cap \mathbb{K}, \mathrm{Lie}(M(\mathbb{A}_\infty)) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}))$-module with π_0 the induced representation of $M(\mathbb{A}_f) \times (M(\mathbb{A}) \cap \mathbb{K}, \mathrm{Lie}(M(\mathbb{A}_\infty)) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}))$. Then we call π_0 an automorphic representation of $M(\mathbb{A})$. Denote by $A(M(F)\backslash M(\mathbb{A})_{\pi_0}$ the isotypic subquotient module of type π_0 of $A(M(F)\backslash M(\mathbb{A})$. One checks that

$$V \otimes \mathrm{Hom}_{M(\mathbb{A}_f)\times(M(\mathbb{A})\cap\mathbb{K},\mathrm{Lie}(M(\mathbb{A}_\infty))\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}))}(V, A(M(F)\backslash M(\mathbb{A}))) \simeq A(M(F)\backslash M(\mathbb{A}))_{\pi_0}.$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned}&A(U(\mathbb{A})M(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}))_{\pi_0}\\ :=&\{\phi \in A(U(\mathbb{A})M(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A})) : \phi_k \in A(M(F)\backslash M(\mathbb{A}))_{\pi_0}, \forall k \in \mathbb{K}\}.\end{aligned}$$

Moreover if $A(M(F)\backslash M(\mathbb{A}))_{\pi_0} \subset A_0(M(F)\backslash M(\mathbb{A}))$, we call π_0 a cuspidal representation.

Two automorphic representations π and π_0 of $M(\mathbb{A})$ are said to be equivalent if there exists $\lambda \in X_M^G$ such that $\pi \simeq \pi_0 \otimes \lambda$. This, in practice, means that $A(M(F)\backslash M(\mathbb{A}))_\pi = \lambda \cdot A(M(F)\backslash M(\mathbb{A}))_{\pi_0}$. That is for any $\phi_\pi \in A(M(F)\backslash M(\mathbb{A}))_\pi$ there exists a $\phi_{\pi_0} \in A(M(F)\backslash M(\mathbb{A}))_{\pi_0}$ such that $\phi_\pi(m) = m^\lambda \cdot \phi_{\pi_0}(m)$. Consequently,

$$A(U(\mathbb{A})M(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}))_\pi = (\lambda \circ m_P) \cdot A(U(\mathbb{A})M(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}))_{\pi_0}.$$

Denote by $\mathfrak{P} := [\pi_0]$ the equivalence class of π_0. Then $\mathfrak{P}$ is an X_M^G-principal homogeneous space, hence admits a natural complex structure. Usually we call $(M, \mathfrak{P})$ a cuspidal datum of G if π_0 is cuspidal. Also for $\pi \in \mathfrak{P}$ set $\mathrm{Re}\pi := \mathrm{Re}\chi_\pi = |\chi_\pi| \in \mathrm{Re}X_M$, where χ_π is the central character of π, and $\mathrm{Im}\pi := \pi \otimes (-\mathrm{Re}\pi)$.

Now fix an irreducible automorphic representation π of $M(\mathbb{A})$ and $\phi \in A(U(\mathbb{A})M(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}))_\pi$, define the associated Eisenstein series $E(\phi, \pi) : G(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}) \to \mathbb{C}$ by

$$E(\phi, \pi)(g) := \sum_{\delta \in P(F)\backslash G(F)} \phi(\delta g).$$

Then one checks that there is an open cone $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathrm{Re}X_M^G$ such that if $\mathrm{Re}\pi \in \mathcal{C}$, $E(\lambda \cdot \phi, \pi \otimes \lambda)(g)$ converges uniformly for g in a compact subset of $G(\mathbb{A})$ and λ in an open neighborhood of 0 in X_M^G. For example, if $\mathfrak{P} = [\pi]$ is cuspidal, we may even take $\mathcal{C}$ to be the cone $\{\lambda \in \mathrm{Re}X_M^G : \langle \lambda - \rho_P, \alpha^\vee \rangle > 0, \forall \alpha \in \Delta_P^G\}$. As a direct consequence, then $E(\phi, \pi) \in A(G(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}))$. That is, it is an automorphic form.

As noticed above, being an automorphic form, $E(\phi, \pi)$ is of moderate growth. However, in general it is not integrable over $Z_{G(\mathbb{A})}G(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A})$. To remedy this, classically, as initiated in the so-called Rankin-Selberg method, analytic truncation is used: From Fourier analysis, we understand that the probelmatic terms are the so-called constant terms, which are of slow growth, so by cutting off them, the reminding one is of rapidly growth and hence integrable.

In general, it is very difficult to make such an analytic truncation intrinsically related with arithmetic properties of number fields. (See however, the Rankin-Selberg method [Bu1,2], [Z] and the Arthur-Selberg trace formula [Ar1-4].) On the other hand, Eisenstein series themselves are quite intrinsic arithmetical invariants. Thus it is natural for us on one hand to keep Eisenstein series unchanged while on the other to find new moduli spaces, which themselves are intrinsically parametrized certain modular objects, and over which Eisenstein series are integrable.

This is exactly the approach we take. As said, we are going to view Eisenstein series as something globally defined, and use a geo-arithmetical truncation for the space $G(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A})$ so that the integrations of the Eisenstein series over the newly obtained moduli spaces give us naturally non-abelian L functions for number fields.

As such, let us now come back to the group $G = GL_r$, then as in 2.1, we obtain the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{F,r}^{\leq p}[|\Delta_F|^{\frac{r}{2}}]$ and also a well-defined integration

$$L_{F,r}^{\leq p}(\phi, \pi) := \int_{\mathcal{M}_{F,r}^{\leq p}[|\Delta_F|^{\frac{r}{2}}]} E(\phi, \pi)(g)\, dg, \qquad \mathrm{Re}\pi \in \mathcal{C}.$$

III.2.3. New Non-Abelian L-Functions

However, in general, we do not know whether the above defined integration has any nice properties such as meromorphic continuation and functional equations etc... It is to remedy this that we make a further choice of automorphic forms.

Fix then a convex polygon $p : [0, r] \to \mathbb{R}$ as in 2.1 and hence we get the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{F,r}^{\leq p}[|\Delta_F|^{\frac{r}{2}}]$. For $G = GL_r$, fix the minimal parabolic subgroup P_0 corresponding to the partition $(1, \cdots, 1)$ with M_0 consisting of diagonal matrices. Fix a standard parabolic subgroup $P_I = U_I M_I$ corresponding to the partition $I = (r_1, \cdots, r_{|P|})$ of r with M_I the standard Levi and U_I the unipotent radical.

Then for a fixed irreducible automorphic representation π of $M_I(\mathbb{A})$, choose

$$\begin{aligned}\phi \in\, & A(U_I(\mathbb{A})M_I(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}))_\pi \cap L^2(U_I(\mathbb{A})M_I(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}))\\ :=& A^2(U_I(\mathbb{A})M_I(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}))_\pi,\end{aligned}$$

where $L^2(U_I(\mathbb{A})M_I(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}))$ denotes the space of L^2 functions on the space $Z_{G(\mathbb{A})}U_I(\mathbb{A})M_I(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A})$. Denote the associated Eisenstein series by $E(\phi, \pi) \in A(G(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}))$.

Main Definition B. *With the same notation as above, a rank r non-abelian L-function $L_{F,r}^{\leq p}(\phi, \pi)$ for the number field F associated to an L^2-automorphic form $\phi \in A^2(U_I(\mathbb{A})M_I(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}))_\pi$ is defined by the following integration*

$$L_{F,r}^{\leq p}(\phi, \pi) := \int_{\mathcal{M}_{F,r}^{\leq p}[|\Delta_F|^{\frac{r}{2}}]} E(\phi, \pi)(g)\, dg, \qquad Re\pi \in \mathcal{C}.$$

More generally, for any standard parabolic subgroup $P_J = U_J M_J \supset P_I$ (so that the partition J is a refinement of I), we have the corresponding relative Eisenstein series

$$E_I^J(\phi, \pi)(g) := \sum_{\delta \in P_I(F)\backslash P_J(F)} \phi(\delta g), \forall g \in P_J(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}).$$

It is well-known that there is an open cone $\mathcal{C}_I^J$ in $\mathrm{Re}X_{M_I}^{P_J}$ such that for $\mathrm{Re}\pi \in \mathcal{C}_I^J$, $E_I^J(\phi, \pi) \in A(P_J(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}))$. Here $X_{M_I}^{P_J}$ is defined similarly as X_M^G with G replaced by P_J. Then we have a well-defined relative non-abelian L-function

$$L_{F,r}^{P_J;\leq p}(\phi, \pi) := \int_{\mathcal{M}_{F,r}^{P_J;\leq p}[|\Delta_F|^{\frac{r}{2}}]} E_I^J(\phi, \pi)(g)\, dg, \qquad \mathrm{Re}\pi \in \mathcal{C}_I^J.$$

Remark. Here when defining non-abelian L-functions we assume that ϕ comes from a single irreducible automorphic representations, but this restriction is rather artifical and can be removed easily, since such a restriction only serves the purpose of giving the constructions and results in a very neat way.

We end this section by pointing out that the discussion for non-abelian L-functions holds for the just defined relative non-abelian L-functions as well. So from now on, we will leave such a discussion to the reader while concentrate ourselves to non-abelian L-functions.

III.2.4. Meromorphic Extension and Functional Equations

With the same notation as above, set $\mathfrak{P} = [\pi]$. For $w \in W$ the Weyl group of $G = GL_r$, fix once and for all representative $w \in G(F)$ of w. Set $M' := wMw^{-1}$ and denote the associated parabolic subgroup by $P' = U'M'$. W acts naturally on the automorphic representations, from which we obtain an equivalence classes $w\mathfrak{P}$ of automorphic representations of $M'(\mathbb{A})$. As usual, define the associated intertwining operator $M(w, \pi)$ by

$$(M(w, \pi)\phi)(g) := \int_{U'(F)\cap wU(F)w^{-1}\backslash U'(\mathbb{A})} \phi(w^{-1}n'g)dn', \qquad \forall g \in G(\mathbb{A}).$$

One checks that if $\langle \mathrm{Re}\pi, \alpha^\vee \rangle \gg 0, \forall \alpha \in \Delta_P^G$,

(i) for a fixed ϕ, $M(w, \pi)\phi$ depends only on the double coset $M'(F)wM(F)$. So $M(w, \pi)\phi$ is well-defined for $w \in W$;

(ii) the above integral converges absolutely and uniformly for g varying in a compact subset of $G(\mathbb{A})$;

(iii) $M(w, \pi)\phi \in A(U'(\mathbb{A})M'(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}))_{w\pi}$; and if ϕ is L^2, which from now on we always assume, so is $M(w, \pi)\phi$.

Basic Facts of Non-Abelian L-Functions. *With the same notation above,*

(I) (Meromorphic Continuation) $L_{F,r}^{\leq p}(\phi,\pi)$ *for* $Re\pi \in \mathcal{C}$ *is well-defined and admits a unique meromorphic continuation to the whole space* $\mathfrak{P}$*;*

(II) (Functional Equation) As meromorphic functions on $\mathfrak{P}$*,*

$$L_{F,r}^{\leq p}(\phi,\pi) = L_{F,r}^{\leq p}(M(w,\pi)\phi, w\pi), \qquad \forall w \in W.$$

Proof. This is a direct consequence of the fundamental results of Langlands on Eisenstein series and spectrum decompositions. [See e.g, [Ar1], [La1,2] and [MW1]). Indeed, if ϕ is cuspidal, by definition, (I) is a direct consequence of Prop. II.15, Thm. IV.1.8 of [MW] and (II) is a direct consequence of Thm. IV.1.10 of [MW].

More generally, if ϕ is only L^2, then by Langlands' theory of Eisenstein series and spectral decomposition, ϕ may be obtained as the residue of relative Eisenstein series coming from cuspidal forms, since ϕ is L^2 automorphic. As such then (I) and (II) are direct consequences of the proof of VI.2.1(i) at p.264 of [MW].

III.2.5. Holomorphicity and Singularities

Let $\pi \in \mathfrak{P}$ and $\alpha \in \Delta_M^G$. Define the function $h : \mathfrak{P} \to \mathbb{C}$ by $\pi \otimes \lambda \mapsto \langle \lambda, \alpha^\vee \rangle, \forall \lambda \in X_M^G \simeq \mathfrak{a}_M^G$. Here as usual, $\alpha^\vee$ denotes the coroot associated to α. Set $H := \{\pi' \in \mathfrak{P} : h(\pi') = 0\}$ and call it a root hyperplane. Clearly the function h is determined by H, hence we also denote h by h_H. Note also that root hyperplanes depend on the base point π we choose.

Let D be a set of root hyperplanes. Then

(i) the singularities of a meromorphic function f on $\mathfrak{P}$ is said to be carried out by D if for all $\pi \in \mathfrak{P}$, there exist $n_\pi : D \to \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ zero almost everywhere such that $\pi' \mapsto \big(\Pi_{H\in D} h_H(\pi')^{n_\pi(H)}\big) \cdot f(\pi')$ is holomorphic at π';

(ii) the singularities of f are said to be without multiplicity at π if $n_\pi \in \{0,1\}$;

(iii) D is said to be locally finite, if for any compact subset $C \subset \mathfrak{P}$, $\{H \in D : H \cap C \neq \emptyset\}$ is finite.

Basic Facts of Non-Abelian L-Functions. *With the same notation above,*

(III) (Holomorphicity) (i) When $Re\pi \in \mathcal{C}$, $L_{F,r}^{\leq p}(\phi,\pi)$ *is holomorphic;*

(ii) $L_{F,r}^{\leq p}(\phi,\pi)$ *is holomorphic at* π *where* $Re\pi = 0$*;*

(IV) (Singularities) Assume further that ϕ *is a cusp form. Then*

(i) There is a locally finite set of root hyperplanes D *such that the singularities of* $L_{F,r}^{\leq p}(\phi,\pi)$ *are carried out by* D*;*

(ii) The singularities of $L_{F,r}^{\leq p}(\phi,\pi)$ *are without multiplicities at* π *if* $\langle Re\pi, \alpha^\vee\rangle \geq 0, \forall \alpha \in \Delta_M^G$*;*

(iii) There are only finitely many of singular hyperplanes of $L_{F,r}^{\leq p}(\phi,\pi)$ *which intersect* $\{\pi \in \mathfrak{P} : \langle Re\pi, \alpha^\vee\rangle \geq 0, \forall \alpha \in \Delta_M\}$.

Proof. As above, this is a direct consequence of the fundamental results of Langlands on Eisenstein series and spectrum decompositions. [See e.g, [Ar1], [La1,2] and [MW1]). Indeed, if ϕ is a cusp form, (III.i) is a direct consequence of Lemma IV.1.7 of [MW], while (III.ii) and (IV) are direct consequence of Prop. IV.1.11 of [MW].

In general when ϕ is only L^2 automorphic, then we have to use the theory of Langlands to realize ϕ as the residue of relative Eisenstein series defined using cusp forms. (See e.g., item (5) at p.198 and the second half part of p.232 of [MW].)

As such, (III) and (IV) are direct consequence of the definition of residue datum and the compactibility between residue and Eisenstein series as stated for example under item (3) at p.263 of [MW].

Remarks. (1) Since $G = GL_r$, one can write down the functional equations concretely, and give a much more refined result about the singularities of the non-abelian L-functions, for instance, with the use of [MW2] about the residue of Eisenstein series. We discuss this elsewhere.

(2) As always, once a general construction is given, then we are also interseted in some special yet important examples. Here the story should be the same.

Chapter IV. Abelian Part of Non-Abelian L-Functions

IV.1. Modified Analytic Truncation

Let $G = GL_r$ and $P_0 = M_0U_0$ be the minimal parabolic subgroup corresponding to the partition $(1, \cdots, 1)$. Let $P_1 = M_1U_1$ be a fixed standard parabolic subgroup with M_1 the standard Levi and U_1 the unipotent radical.

For a number field F with discriminant Δ_F, let π be an irreducible automorphic representation of $M_1(\mathbb{A})$. Denote by $A^2(U_1(\mathbb{A})M_1(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}))_\pi$ the space of L^2-automorphic forms in the isotypic component $A(U_1(\mathbb{A})M_1(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}))_\pi$.

Then for a fixed convex polygon $p : [0, r] \to \mathbb{R}$ and any L^2-automorphic form $\phi \in A^2(U_1(\mathbb{A})M_1(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}))$ we have the associated non-abelian L-function

$$L_{F,r}^{\le p}(\phi; \pi) := \int_{\mathcal{M}_{F,r}^{\le p}[|\Delta_F|^{\frac{r}{2}}]} E(\phi, \pi)(g) \cdot d\mu(g), \qquad \mathrm{Re}\, \pi \in \mathcal{C}$$

where $E(\phi, \pi)$ denotes the Eisenstein series associated to ϕ and $\mathcal{C} \subset X_{M_1}^G$ is a certain positive cone in the previous chapter over which Eisenstein series $E(\phi, \pi)$ converges. Also in Ch. III, we show that $L_{F,r}^{\le p}(\phi; \pi)$ admits a meromorphic continuation to the whole space $\mathfrak{P} := [\pi]$, the $X_{M_1}^G$ homogeneous space consisting of automorphic representations equivalent to π in which a typical element is $\pi \otimes \lambda$ with $\lambda \in X_{M_1}^G$.

Motivated by the discussion in II.3, Arthur's analytic truncation [Ar3] and Lafforgue's corresponding work on function fields [Laf], we introduce a modified analytic truncation by

$$\Lambda_p E(\phi, \pi)(g) := \sum_P (-1)^{\dim(A_P/Z_G)} \sum_{\delta \in P(F)\backslash G(F)} E_P(\phi, \pi) \cdot \mathbf{1}(\bar{p}^{\delta g} >_P p).$$

Then similar as what Arthur does in his fundamental papers [Ar2,3], see also Lafforgue [Laf], where function fields are treated, we have the following

Basic Facts about the Modified Analytic Truncation.
(i) Λ_p is self-adjoint;
(ii) $\Lambda_p E(\phi, \pi)$ is rapidly decreasing.

As a direct consequence, we then conclude that the integration

$$\int_{Z_{G(\mathbb{A})}F(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A})} \Lambda_p E(\phi, \pi) \cdot d\mu(g), \qquad \mathrm{Re}\pi \in \mathcal{C}$$

is well-defined and admits a unique meromorphic continuation to the whole space $\mathfrak{P}$.

Motivated by Arthur's work on the inner product of truncated Eisenstein series [Ar3,4], we call the integration

$$\int_{Z_{G(\mathbb{A})}G(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A})} \Lambda_p E(\phi, \pi) \cdot d\mu(g)$$

the leading term of our non-abelian L-function $L_{F,r}^{\le p}(\phi; \pi)$ (when p approach to infinity in a suitable sense). In particular, for the reason we see in Ch. II, we call the above integration *an abelian part* of the non-abelian L-function $L_{F,r}^{\le p}(\phi; \pi)$ when ϕ is a cusp form, and denote it by $L_{F,r}^{\mathrm{ab}, \le p}(\phi; \pi)$.

IV.2. Relation with Arthur's Analytic Truncation: II

This section is added after Hoffmann at Durham casted his doubts about a wishful formula $\Lambda_p \circ \Lambda_p = \Lambda_p$. Clearly, such a formula for geo-arithmetical truncation is too good to be true. As a matter of fact, this is exactly where the key difference between our geo-arithmetical truncation and Arthur's analytic truncation lies: For $T \in \mathfrak{a}_0^+$ a suitable regular element, following Arthur, we have the analytic truncation $\Lambda^T \phi$ for any automorphic form ϕ. Moreover, by Lemma 1.1 of [Ar3], the constant term of $\Lambda^T \phi(x)$ along with any standard parabolic subgroup P_1 is zero unless $\varpi(H_0(x) - T) < 0$ for all $\varpi \in \hat{\Delta}_1$. This is a miracle since, then by definition,

$$\Lambda^T \circ \Lambda^T = \Lambda^T.$$

As a direct consequence,

$$\int_{Z_{G(\mathbb{A})}G(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A})}\Lambda^T E(\phi,\pi)\cdot d\mu(g)=\int_{Z_{G(\mathbb{A})}G(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A})}\Lambda^T\mathbf{1}\cdot E(\phi,\pi)\cdot d\mu(g).$$

Thus if we use Authur's analytic truncation for the constant function **1** to get a truncated (compact) subset in the fundamental domain, the integration of Eisenstein series over this (analytically) truncated domain is nothing but the leading term

$$\int_{Z_{G(\mathbb{A})}G(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A})}\Lambda^T E(\phi,\pi)\cdot d\mu(g).$$

That is to say, no non-abelian part appears for such an analytic truncation.

At this point, the reader may wonder why two truncations Λ_p and Λ^T look very similar superfically, yet they yield quite different aspects of the structure – on one hand, Arthur's analytic truncation beautifully singles out very important abelian part, on the other, our geo-arithmetical truncation creates new rooms for non-abelian contributions. There are deep reasons for this: Arthur's analytic truncation may be viewed as the 'second variation' of the geo-arithmetical truncation. Indeed, for any real cocharacter T of M_0, introduce an associated polygon $p_T:[0,r]\to\mathbb{R}$ such that
(i) it is affine over $[r',r'+1]$ for all $r'=0,\dots,r-1$; and
(ii) $\Big(\Delta^2\Big)p_T(r'-1)=(e_{r'}-e_{r'+1})(T)$ where as usual $\{e_j-e_{j+1}\}_{j=1}^{r-1}$ denotes the collection of positive roots of SL_r and $\Delta f(x):=f(x+1)-f(x)$.
Then by defintion,

$$\mathbf{1}\Big(\Delta^2(p_P^g)>_P\Delta^2(p_T)\Big)=\tau_P(H_P(g)-T),$$

where $\tau_P(H(g)-T)$ is (one of) Arthur's truncation(s).

IV.3. A Formula for the Modified Truncated Eisenstein series

Our aim is to give a precise formula for the leading term of $L_{F,r}^{\leq p}(\phi;\pi)$, using an advanced version of Rankin-Selberg method, motivated by the result of Langlands-Arthur on the inner product of truncated Eisenstein series [Ar3,4]. For this purpose we first give a formula for our analytic truncation of Eisenstein series. This then leads to the consideration of constant terms of Eisenstein series.

According to the theory of Eisenstein series, see e.g, [Ar1], [La1,2] and [MW2] for any automorphic form ϕ, it is difficult to find a neat formula for the so-called constant terms of the corresponding Eisenstein series $E(\phi,\pi)$ along with arbitrary standard parabolic subgroups. However if ϕ is cuspidal, then using Bruhat decomposition, such constant terms may be easily obtained. So from now on, let us assume that the automorphic form ϕ is indeed cuspidal unless we state clearly the otherwise.

So let $\phi\in A_0(U_1(\mathbb{A})M_1(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}))$. For any standard parabolic subgroup $P=MU$, by the Bruhat decomposition, we have $G(F)=\cup_{w\in W_M\backslash W/W_{M_1}}P_1(F)wP(F)$, a disjoint union. Here W_* is the Weyl group of $*$. Moreover, one checks that a system of representatives of $W_M\backslash W/W_{M_1}$ may be given by

$$W_{M_1,M}:=\{w\in W:w(\alpha)>0,\forall\alpha\in R^+(T_0,M),w^{-1}(\beta)>0,\forall\beta\in R^+(T_0,M')\}$$

where $R^+(T_0,*)$ denotes the set of positive roots related to $(T_0,*)$. As a direct consequence,

$$\{w\in W_{M_1,M}:wM_1w^{-1}\subset M\}=W(M_1,M)$$

consisting of element $w\in W$ such that wM_1w^{-1} is a standard Levi of M and $w^{-1}(\beta)>0$ for all $\beta\in R^+(T_0,M)$.

Therefore, by definition,

$$\begin{aligned}E_P(\phi,\pi)&=\int_{U(F)\backslash U(\mathbb{A})}\sum_{\delta\in P_1(F)\backslash G(F)}\phi(\delta ng)\,dn\\&=\sum_{w\in W_{M_1,M}}\sum_{m\in M(F)\cap wP_1(F)w^{-1}\backslash M(F)}\int_{U(F)\backslash U(\mathbb{A})}\sum_{u\in U(F)\cap m^{-1}wP_1(F)w^{-1}m\backslash U(F)}\phi(w^{-1}mung)dn.\end{aligned}$$

Note that for all $w \in W_{M_1,M}$ and $m \in M(F)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{U(F)\backslash U(\mathbb{A})} \sum_{u \in U(F)\cap m^{-1}wP_1(F)w^{-1}m\backslash U(F)} \phi(w^{-1}mung)\,dn\\ =&\int_{U(F)\cap wP_1(F)w^{-1}\backslash U(\mathbb{A})} \phi(w^{-1}nmg)\,dn\end{aligned}$$

which is 0 if $w^{-1}Uw \cap M_1 \neq \{1\}$, or better, if wM_1w^{-1} is not contained in M, e.g, $w \notin W(M_1, M)$ by the cuspidality of ϕ.

On the other hand, if $w \in W(M_1, M)$, then $M \cap wP_1w^{-1}$ is the standard parabolic subgroup of M with the standard Levi wM_1w^{-1} and $U(F) \cap wP_1(F)w^{-1} = U(F) \cap wU_1(F)w^{-1}$. Therefore, we obtain a general formula for the constant term of $E(\phi, \pi)$ along P in terms of ϕ and its twists by intertwining operators as follows:

$$E_P(\phi,\pi)(g) = \sum_{w\in W(M_1,M)} \sum_{m\in M(F)\cap wP_1(F)w^{-1}\backslash M(F)} \big(M(w,\pi)\phi(\pi)\big)(mg).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}&\Lambda_p E(\phi,\pi)\\ =&\sum_P (-1)^{\mathrm{dim} A_P/Z_G} \sum_{\delta\in P(F)\backslash G(F)} E_P(\phi,\pi)(\delta g)\cdot \mathbf{1}(\bar{p}^{\delta g} >_P p)\\ =&\sum_P (-1)^{\mathrm{dim} A_P/Z_G} \sum_{\delta\in P(F)\backslash G(F)}\\ &\qquad \sum_{w\in W(M_1,M)} \sum_{\xi\in M(F)\cap wP_1(F)w^{-1}\backslash M(F)} \big(M(w,\pi)\phi\big)(\xi\delta g)\cdot \mathbf{1}(\bar{p}^{\delta g} >_P p).\end{aligned}$$

Now for any standard parabolic subgroup P_2, set $W(\mathfrak{a}_1, \mathfrak{a}_2)$ to be the set of distinct isomorphisms from $\mathfrak{a}_1$ onto $\mathfrak{a}_2$ obtained by restricting elements in W to $\mathfrak{a}_1$, where $\mathfrak{a}_i$ denotes $\mathfrak{a}_{P_i}, i = 1, 2$ Then one checks by definition easily that $W(M_1, M)$ is a union over all P_2 of elements $w \in W(\mathfrak{a}_1, \mathfrak{a}_2)$ such that
(i) $w\mathfrak{a}_1 = \mathfrak{a}_2 \supset \mathfrak{a}_P$; and
(ii) $w^{-1}(\alpha) > 0, \forall \alpha \in \Delta_{P_2}^P$.
Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}&\Lambda_p E(\phi,\pi)\\ =&\sum_{P_2} \sum_{w\in W(\mathfrak{a}_1,\mathfrak{a}_2), P\supset P_2, w^{-1}(\alpha)>0, \forall\alpha\in\Delta_{P_2}^P} (-1)^{\mathrm{dim} A_P/Z_G}\\ &\qquad \sum_{\delta\in P(F)\backslash G(F)} \mathbf{1}(\bar{p}^{\delta g} >_P p)\cdot \sum_{\xi\in M(F)\cap wP_1(F)w^{-1}\backslash M(F)} \big(M(w,\pi)\phi\big)(\xi\delta g)\\ =&\sum_{P_2} \sum_{w\in W(\mathfrak{a}_1,\mathfrak{a}_2)} (-1)^{\mathrm{dim} A_{P_w}/Z_G} \sum_{\{P: P_2\subset P\subset P_w, w^{-1}(\alpha)>0, \forall\alpha\in\Delta_{P_2}^P\}} (-1)^{\mathrm{dim} A_P/A_{P_w}}\\ &\qquad \sum_{\delta\in P(F)\backslash G(F)} \mathbf{1}(\bar{p}^{\delta g} >_P p)\cdot \sum_{\xi\in M(F)\cap wP_1(F)w^{-1}\backslash M(F)} \big(M(w,\pi)\phi\big)(\xi\delta g).\end{aligned}$$

where for a given w, we define $P_w \supset P$ by the conidition that

$$\Delta_{P_2}^{P_w} = \{\alpha \in \Delta_{P_2} : (w\pi)(\alpha^\vee) > 0\}.$$

Therefore, since

$$\mathbf{1}(\bar{p}^{\xi\delta g} >_P p) = \mathbf{1}(\bar{p}^{\delta g} >_P p), \forall \delta \in P(F)\backslash G(F), \xi \in P_2(F)\backslash P(F),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Lambda_p E(\phi,\pi)\\
=&\sum_{P_2}\sum_{w\in W(\mathfrak{a}_1,\mathfrak{a}_2)}(-1)^{\mathrm{dim}A_{P_w}/Z_G}\sum_{\{P:P_2\subset P\subset P_w,w^{-1}(\alpha)>0,\forall\alpha\in\Delta_{P_2}^P\}}(-1)^{\mathrm{dim}A_P/A_{P_w}}\\
&\qquad\sum_{\delta\in P(F)\backslash G(F)}\sum_{\delta\in P_2(F)\backslash P(F)}\Big(\mathbf{1}(\bar{p}^{\xi\delta g}>_P p)\cdot\big(M(w,\pi)\phi\big)(\xi\delta g)\Big)\\
=&\sum_{P_2}\sum_{w\in W(\mathfrak{a}_1,\mathfrak{a}_2)}(-1)^{\mathrm{dim}A_{P_w}/Z_G}\sum_{\{P:P_2\subset P\subset P_w,w^{-1}(\alpha)>0,\forall\alpha\in\Delta_{P_2}^P\}}(-1)^{\mathrm{dim}A_P/A_{P_w}}\\
&\qquad\sum_{\delta\in P_2(F)\backslash G(F)}\Big(\mathbf{1}(\bar{p}^{\delta g}>_P p)\cdot\big(M(w,\pi)\phi\big)(\delta g)\Big)\\
=&\sum_{P_2}\sum_{\delta\in P_2(F)\backslash G(F)}\sum_{w\in W(\mathfrak{a}_1,\mathfrak{a}_2)}(-1)^{\mathrm{dim}A_{P_w}/Z_G}\big(M(w,\pi)\phi\big)(\xi\delta g)\\
&\qquad\sum_{\{P:P_2\subset P\subset P_w,w^{-1}(\alpha)>0,\forall\alpha\in\Delta_{P_2}^P\}}(-1)^{\mathrm{dim}A_P/A_{P_w}}\mathbf{1}(\bar{p}^{\delta g}>_P p).
\end{aligned}$$

Set now

$$\mathbf{1}(P_2;p;w):=\sum_{\{P:P_2\subset P\subset P_w,w^{-1}(\alpha)>0,\forall\alpha\in\Delta_{P_2}^P\}}(-1)^{\mathrm{dim}A_P/Z_G}\mathbf{1}(\bar{p}^{\delta g}>_P p).$$

With this, we obtain the following

Lemma. *With the same notation as above,*

$$\Lambda_p E(\phi,\pi)(g)=\sum_{P=MU}\sum_{\delta\in P(F)\backslash G(F)}\sum_{w\in W(M_1),wM_1w^{-1}=M}\big(M(w,\pi)\phi\big)(\delta g)\cdot\mathbf{1}(P;p;\pi)(\delta g).$$

IV.4. Abelian Part of Non-Abelian L-Functions

By the lemma in the previous section, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&L_{F,r}^{ab;\leq p}(\phi,\pi)\\
=&\int_{Z_{G(\mathbb{A})}G(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A})}\sum_{P=MU}\sum_{\delta\in P(F)\backslash G(F)}\sum_{w\in W(M_1),wM_1w^{-1}=M}\big(M(w,\pi)\phi\big)(\delta g)\cdot\mathbf{1}(P;p;\pi)(\delta g)\,dg.
\end{aligned}$$

From an un-folding trick, it is simply

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_P\sum_{w\in W(M_1),wM_1w^{-1}=M}\int_{Z_{G(\mathbb{A})}P(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A})}\Big(\mathbf{1}(P_2;p;w)(g)\cdot\big(M(w,\pi)\phi\big)(g)\Big)\,dg\\
=&\sum_P\sum_{w\in W(M_1),wM_1w^{-1}=M}\int_{Z_{G(\mathbb{A})}U(\mathbb{A})M(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A})}\Big(\mathbf{1}_P(P;p;w)(g)\cdot\big(M(w,\pi)\phi\big)(g)\Big)\,dg
\end{aligned}$$

where as usual $\mathbf{1}_P(P;p;w)(g):=\int_{U(F)\backslash U(\mathbb{A})}\mathbf{1}(P;p;w)(ng)\,dn$ denotes the constant term of $\mathbf{1}(P;p;w)(g)$ along P.

To evaluate this latest integral, we decomposite it into a double integrations over

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big(Z_{G(\mathbb{A})}(Z_M(F)\cap Z_{M(\mathbb{A})}\backslash Z_{G(\mathbb{A})}\cdot Z_{M(\mathbb{A})}^1\big)\times\big(Z_{G(\mathbb{A})}Z_{M(\mathbb{A})}^1U(\mathbb{A})M(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A})\big)\\
=&\big(Z_{G(\mathbb{A})}\cdot Z_{M(\mathbb{A})}^1\backslash Z_{M(\mathbb{A})}\big)\times\big(Z_{M(\mathbb{A})}U(\mathbb{A})M(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A})\big),
\end{aligned}$$

where $Z_{M(\mathbb{A})}^1=Z_{M(\mathbb{A})}\cap M(\mathbb{A})^1$. That is to say,

$$\begin{aligned}
L_{F,r}^{ab;\leq p}(\phi,\pi)=&\sum_{P=MU}\sum_{w\in W(M_1),wM_1w^{-1}=M}\int_{Z_{M(\mathbb{A})}U(\mathbb{A})M(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A})}dg\\
&\cdot\int_{Z_{G(\mathbb{A})}\cdot Z_{M(\mathbb{A})}^1\backslash Z_{M(\mathbb{A})}}\Big(\mathbf{1}_P(P;p;w)(zg)\cdot\big(M(w,\pi)\phi\big)(zg)\Big)dz.
\end{aligned}$$

Note now that since $X_{M_1}^G$ has no torsion, there exists a unique element π_0 of $\mathfrak{P} := [\pi]$ whose restriction to $A_{M_1(\mathbb{A})}^G$ is trivial. This then allows to canonically identified $X_{M_1}^G$ with $\mathfrak{P}$ via $\lambda_\pi \in X_{M_1}^G \mapsto \pi := \pi_0 \otimes \lambda_\pi \in \mathfrak{P}$. Hence without loss of generality, we may simply assume that the restriction of π to $A_{M_1(\mathbb{A})}^G$ is trivial.

Therefore,

$$L_{F,r}^{ab;\leq p}(\phi,\pi) = \sum_{P=MU} \sum_{w\in W(M_1), wM_1w^{-1}=M} \int_{Z_{M(\mathbb{A})}U(\mathbb{A})M(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A})} \big(M(w,\pi)\phi\big)(g)\,dg$$
$$\cdot \int_{Z_{G(\mathbb{A})}\cdot Z^1_{M(\mathbb{A})}\backslash Z_{M(\mathbb{A})}} \Big(\mathbf{1}_P(P;p;w)(zg)\cdot\Big) z^{\rho_P+w\pi} dz.$$

However as g may be chosen in $G(\mathbb{A})^1$, clearly, the integration

$$\int_{Z_{G(\mathbb{A})}\cdot Z^1_{M(\mathbb{A})}\backslash Z_{M(\mathbb{A})}} \Big(\mathbf{1}_P(P;p;w)(zg)\cdot\Big) z^{\rho_P+w\pi} dz$$

is independent of g. Denote it by $W(P;p;w;\pi)$. As a direct consequence, we obtain the following

Basic Fact About the Abelian Part. *With the same notation as above, for* $\phi \in A_0(U_1(\mathbb{A})M_1(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}))_\pi$,

$$L_{F,r}^{\mathrm{ab},\leq p}(\phi;\pi) = \sum_{P=MU} \sum_{w\in W(M_1), wM_1w^{-1}=M} \Big(W(P;p;w;\pi)\cdot\langle M(w,\pi)\phi, 1\rangle\Big).$$

Remark. With the simple combinatorial lemma Prop 1.1 of [Ar1], one may give a concrete formula for $\mathbf{1}(P;p;w)$ and hence its constant term $\mathbf{1}_P(P;p;w)$. Moreover, with the formula above and the Fourier inversion formula, noticing the fact that the dual of $Z_{G(\mathbb{A})}M(\mathbb{A})^1\backslash M(\mathbb{A})$ is simply X_M^G, the structure of $W(P;p;w;\pi)$ may also be understood. We leave all this to the interested reader. On the other hand, if ϕ is only an L^2-automorphic form, such an elegent formula can hardly be given due to the fact that there is no simple formula for the constant terms of the associated Eisenstein series. However, if we follow Arthur's method, we could give the 'leading term' of our non-abelian L-function $L_{F,r}^{\leq p}(\phi,\pi)$, as introduced at the begining of this chapter. (We reminder the reader that while our leading term is well-justified, one may simply it by throwing away rapidly decreasing terms as done in Arthur [Ar4].) We will discuss this elsewhere.

Conclusion Remark

All in all, we feel that we have already accomplished our mission to find genuine non-abelian L-functions: while the abelian part may be obtained by using Rankin-Selberg(-Langlands-Arthur) method, for the non-commutative theory, we should start with these newly found non-abelian L-functions. In particular, note that from examples of Chapter II,
(1) any single non-abelian L-function can be decomposite as the sum of a rank r part and a lower rank part coming from parabolic induction; and
(2) the rank r part consists of two components, the abelian one and the essential non-abelian one.

Thus, to understand the universal structure of all L-functions, both abelian and non-abelian, we should introduce non-abelian Euler products in such a way that
(i) they quantifies what might be called the non-abelian Reciprocity Law; and
(ii) they give an alternative way to introduce non-abelian L-functions in this paper and hence in rank 1 case they degenerate to the standard Euler product.

It is for this purpose, motivated by the non-abelian class field theory for function fields over complex numbers, to be established in the Appendix, that we propose to study algebraic relations among images of the Frobenius classes of absolute Galois groups in finite (subquotient) groups in Σ_r. Here Σ_r is defined to be the collection of all finite groups G satisfying
(1) all irreducible unitary representations of G are of rank $\leq r$;
(2) G admits at least one rank r irreducible unitary representation.

Example. When $r = 1$, Σ_r is simply the collection of all finite abelian groups and hence the algebraic relations we are seeking for are simply the commutative law. From our point of view, this is why
(i) for abelian L-functions, the standrad Euler product exists; and
(ii) for non-abelian L-functions of rank r, as mentioned above, the natural decomposition in terms of parabolic data exists.

Acknowledgement. This work is partially supported by JSPS. When preparing it in approximately 5 years, I receive many helps from various institutes, in particular, Kobe Univ, Nagoya Univ and Kyushu Univ. I would like to thank them for providing me with excellent working conditions. Special thanks also due to Ueno for his constant support and encouragement; to van der Geer for kindly explaining his joint work with Schoof when we met at Kyoto Univ which then leads to our own work on the geo-arithmetical cohomology presented in Ch.I; to Deninger and Zagier for their discussion, whose question leads to the examples in Ch. II. Finally, this work is completed when I visit Nottingham. I would like to thank Fesenko for his help and support.

REFERENCES

[Ar1] Arthur, J. Eisenstein series and the trace formula. *Automorphic forms, representations and L-functions* pp. 253–274, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., XXXIII, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1979.

[Ar2] Arthur, J. A trace formula for reductive groups. I. Terms associated to classes in $G(\mathbb{Q})$. Duke Math. J. 45 (1978), no. 4, 911–952

[Ar3] Arthur, J. A trace formula for reductive groups. II. Applications of a truncation operator. Compositio Math. 40 (1980), no. 1, 87–121.

[Ar4] Arthur, J. On the inner product of truncated Eisenstein series. Duke Math. J. 49 (1982), no. 1, 35–70.

[BV] Bombieri, E. & Vaaler, J. On Siegel's lemma. Invent. Math. **73** (1983), no. 1, 11–32.

[Bo1] Borel, A. Some finiteness properties of adele groups over number fields, Publ. Math., IHES, **16** (1963) 5-30

[Bo2] Borel, A. *Introduction aux groupes arithmetictiques*, Hermann, 1969

[Bor] A. Borisov, Convolution structures and arithmetic cohomology, to appear in Comp. Math.

[Bos] Bost, J.-B. Fibrés vectoriels hermitiens, degré d'Arakelov et polygones canoniques, Appendix A to Exp. No. **795**, Séminaire Bourbaki 1994/95, Astérisque **237** (1996), 154–161.

[Bu1] Bump, D. *Automorphic forms on* $GL(3,\mathbb{R})$*, springer LNM* **1083***, 1984*

[Bu2] Bump, D. The Rankin-Selberg method: a survey. *Number theory, trace formulas and discrete groups* (Oslo, 1987), 49–109, Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1989.

[Co] Connes, A. Trace formula in noncommutative geometry and the zeros of the Riemann zeta function. Sel. math. New ser **5** (1999), no. 1, 29–106.

[De1] Deninger, C. On the Γ-factors attached to motives. Invent. Math. **104** (1991), no. 2, 245–261.

[De2] Deninger, C. Motivic L-functions and regularized determinants, in *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math*, **55**, *Motives*, edited by U. Jannsen, S. Kleiman and J.-P. Serre, (1994), 707-743

[De3] Deninger, C. Some analogies between number theory and dynamical systems on foliated spaces. *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians*, Vol. I (Berlin, 1998). Doc. Math. 1998, Extra Vol. I, 163–186

[Fo] Folland, G.B. *A course in abstract harmonic analysis*, Studies in advanced mathematics, CRC Press, 1995

[GS] van der Geer & Schoof, R. Effectivity of Arakelov Divisors and the Theta Divisor of a Number Field, Sel. Math., New ser. **6** (2000), 377-398

[Gr1] Grayson, D.R. Reduction theory using semistability. Comment. Math. Helv. **59** (1984), no. 4, 600–634.

[Gr2] Grayson, D.R. Reduction theory using semistability. II. Comment. Math. Helv. **61** (1986), no. 4, 661–676.

[H] Humphreys, J. *Introduction to Lie algebras and representation theory*, GTM **9**, 1972

[IT] Imai, K & Terras, A. The Fourier expansion of Eisenstein series for $\mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbb{Z})$. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 273 (1982), no. 2, 679–694.

[Iw1] Iwasawa, K. Letter to Dieudonné, April 8, 1952, in *Zeta Functions in Geometry*, edited by N.Kurokawa and T. Sunuda, Advanced Studies in Pure Math. **21** (1992), 445-450

[Iw2] Iwasawa, K. Lectures notes on Riemann(-Artin) Hypothesis, noted by Kimura, Princeton Unir. 197?

[L1] Lang, S. *Algebraic Number Theory*, Springer-Verlag, 1986

[L2] Lang, S. *Fundamentals on Diophantine Geometry*, Springer-Verlag, 1983

[L3] Lang, S. *Introduction to Arekelov Theory*, Springer-Verlag, 1988

[Laf] Lafforgue, L. *Chtoucas de Drinfeld et conjecture de Ramanujan-Petersson.* Asterisque No. 243 (1997)

[La1] Langlands, R. Eisenstein series. *Algebraic Groups and Discontinuous Subgroups* Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., IX, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1979, pp. 235–252.

[La2] Langlands, R. *On the functional equations satisfied by Eisenstein series*, Springer LNM **544**, 1976

[Li] Li, X. A note on the Riemann-Roch theorem for function fields. *Progr. Math.*, 139, (1996), 567–570.

[MW1] Moeglin, C. & Waldspurger, J.-L. Le spectre residuel de GL(n), Ann. Sci. Ec. Norm. Sup. **22**, (1989), 605-674

[MW2] Moeglin, C. & Waldspurger, J.-L. *Spectral decomposition and Eisenstein series.* Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, **113**. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.

[Mo] Moreno, C. *Algebraic curves over finite fields.* Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, **97**, Cambridge University Press, 1991

[Mor] Moriwaki, A. Stable sheaves on arithmetic curves, a personal note dated in 1992

[Mu] Mumford, D. *Geometric Invariant Theory*, Springer-Verlag, (1965)

[Neu] Neukirch, *Algebraic Number Theory*, Grundlehren der Math. Wissenschaften, Vol. **322**, Springer-Verlag, 1999

[Pa] Parshin, A.N. On the arithmetic of two-dimensional schemes. I. Distributions and residues. (Russian) Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **40** (1976), no. 4, 736–773, 949.

[Se1] Serre, J.-P. Zeta and L functions, in *Arithmetical Algebraic Geometry* (Proc. Conf. Purdue Univ., 1963) Harper & Row (1965), 82-92

[Se2] Serre, J.-P. *Algebraic Groups and Class Fields*, GTM **117**, Springer-Verlag (1988)

[SC] Selberg, A. & Chowla, S. On Epstein's zeta-function, J. Reine und Angew. Math **227** (1967), 86-110

[S] Siegel, C.L. *Lectures on the geometry of numbers*, notes by B. Friedman, rewritten by K. Chandrasekharan with the assistance of R. Suter, Springer-Verlag, 1989.

[St1] Stuhler, U. Eine Bemerkung zur Reduktionstheorie quadratischer Formen. Arch. Math. **27** (1976), no. 6, 604–610.

[St2] Stuhler, U. Zur Reduktionstheorie der positiven quadratischen Formen. II. Arch. Math. **28** (1977), no. 6, 611–619.

[Ta] Tate, J. Fourier analysis in number fields and Hecke's zeta functions, Thesis, Princeton University, 1950

[Te] Terras, A. *Harmonic analysis on symmetric spaces and applications II*, Springer-Verlag, 1988

[V] Venkov, A.B. On the trace formula for $SL(3,\mathbb{Z})$, J. Soviet Math., **12** (1979), 384-424

[W1] Weil, A. *Adeles and algebraic groups*, Prog. in Math, **23** (1982)

[W2] Weil, A. *Basic Number Theory*, Springer-Verlag, 1973

[We1] Weng, L. Non-Abelian Class Field Theory for Riemann Surfaces, at math.AG/0111240

[We2] Weng, L. A Program for Geometric Arithmetic, at math.AG/0111241

[We3] Weng, L. A telefax to Deninger and Fesenko, 2002

[Z] Zagier, D. The Rankin-Selberg method for automorphic functions which are not of rapid decay. J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math. 28 (1981), no. 3, 415–437 (1982).

APPENDIX:

Non-Abelian Class Field Theory for Function Fields Over C

Lin WENG

Graduate School of Mathematics, Kyushu University, Japan

In this appendix, using what we call a micro reciprocity law, we complete Weil's program [W] for non-abelian class field theory of Riemann surfaces.

1. Refined Structures for Tannakian Categories

Let $\mathbb{T}$ be a Tannakian category with a fiber functor $\omega : \mathbb{T} \to \mathrm{Ver}_{\mathbb{C}}$, where $\mathrm{Ver}_{\mathbb{C}}$ denotes the category of finite dimensional $\mathbb{C}$-vector spaces. An object $t \in \mathbb{T}$ is called *reducible* if there exist non-zero objects $x, y \in \mathbb{T}$ such that $t = x \oplus y$. An object is called *irreducible* if it is not reducible. If moreover every object x of $\mathbb{T}$ can be written uniquely as a sum of irreducible objects $x = x_1 \oplus x_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus x_n$, then $\mathbb{T}$ is called a *unique factorization* Tannakian category. Usually, we call x_i's the *irreducible components* of x.

A Tannakian subcategory $\mathbb{S}$ of a unique factorization Tannakian category $\mathbb{T}$ is called *completed* if for $x \in \mathbb{S}$, all its irreducible components x_i's in $\mathbb{T}$ are also in $\mathbb{S}$. $\mathbb{S}$ is called *finitely generated* if as an abelian category, it is generated by finitely many objects. Moreover, $\mathbb{S}$ is called *finitely completed* if (a) $\mathbb{S}$ is finitely generated; (b) $\mathbb{S}$ is completed; and (c) $\mathrm{Aut}^{\otimes}\omega\big|_{\mathbb{S}}$ is a finite group.

2. An Example

Let M be a compact Riemann surface of genus g. Fix an effective divisor $D = \sum_{i=1}^N e_i P_i$ with $e_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$ once for all. For simplicity, in this note we always assume that $(M; D) \neq (\mathbb{P}^1; e_1 P_1)$, or $(\mathbb{P}^1; e_1 P_1 + e_2 P_2)$ with $e_1 \neq e_2$. (These cases may be easily treated.)

By definition a parabolic semi-stable bundle

$$\Sigma := (E =: E(\Sigma); P_1, \dots, P_N; Fil(E|P_1), \dots, Fil(E|_{P_N}); a_{11}, \dots, a_{1r_1}; \dots; a_{N1}, \dots, a_{Nr_N})$$

of parabolic degree 0 is called a *GA bundle* over M along D if (i) the parabolic weights are all rational, i.e., $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{Q} \cap [0, 1)$; (ii) there exist $\alpha_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}, \beta_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that (a) $(\alpha_{ij}, \beta_{ij}) = 1$; (b) $a_{ij} = \alpha_{ij}/\beta_{ij}$; and (c) $\beta_{ij} | e_i$, for all i, j. Denote by $[\Sigma]$ the Seshadri equivalence class associated with Σ. Moreover, for $[\Sigma]$, define $\omega_D([\Sigma])$ as $E(\ \mathrm{Gr}(\Sigma))|_P$, i.e., the fiber of the bundles associated with Jordan-Hölder graded parabolic bundle of Σ at a fixed $P \in M^0 := M \backslash |D| = M \backslash \{P_1, \dots, P_N\}$.

Proposition. *With the same notation as above, put* $\mathcal{M}(M; D) := \{[\Sigma] : \Sigma$ *is a GA bundle over* M *along* $D\}$. *Then* $\mathcal{M}(M; D)$ *is a unique factorization Tannakian category and* $\omega_D : \mathcal{M}(M; D) \to Vec_{\mathbb{C}}$ *is a fiber functor.*

Proof. (1) By a result of Mehta-Seshadri [MS, Prop. 1.15], $\mathcal{M}(M; D)$ is an abelian category. Then from the unitary representation interpretation of a GA bundle, a fundamental result due to Seshadri, (see [MS, Thm 4.1], also in Step 3 of Section 5 below,) $\mathcal{M}(M; D)$ is closed under tensor product. The rigidity may be checked directly.

(2) Since the Jordan-Hölder graded bundle is a direct sum of stable and hence irreducible objects and is unique, (see [MS, Rm 1.16],) so, $\mathcal{M}(M; D)$ is a unique factorization category.

(3) By definition, we know that the functor ω is exact and tensor. So we should check whether it is faithful. This then is a direct consequence of the fact that $\mathcal{M}(M; D)$ is a unique factorization category and that any morphism between two irreducible objects is either zero or a constant multiple of the identity map.

3. Reciprocity Map

In $[\Sigma]$, choose its associated Jordan-Hölder graded bundle $\mathrm{Gr}(\Sigma)$ as a representative. Then by the above mentioned fundamental result of Seshadri, $\mathrm{Gr}(\Sigma)$ corresponds to a unitary representation $\rho_{\ \mathrm{Gr}(\Sigma)} : \pi_1(M^0) \to U(r_\Sigma)$, where r_Σ denotes the rank of $E(\Sigma) := E$.

For each element $g \in \pi_1(M^0)$, we then obtain a $\mathbb{C}$-isomorphism of $E(\mathrm{Gr}(\Sigma))|_P$. Thus, in particular, we get a natural morphism

$$W : \pi_1(M^0) \to \mathrm{Aut}^{\otimes}\omega_D.$$

Now note that $\pi_1(M^0)$ is generated by $2g$ hyperbolic transformations $A_1, B_1, \dots, A_g, B_g$ and N parabolic transformations $S_1, \dots, S_N$ satisfying a single relation $A_1 B_1 A_1^{-1} B_1^{-1} \dots A_g B_g A_g^{-1} B_g^{-1} S_1 \dots S_N = 1$, and that $\rho_{\mathrm{Gr}(\Sigma)}(S_i^{e_i}) = 1$ for all $i = 1, \dots, N$. ([MS, §1].) Denote by $J(D)$ the normal subgroup of $\pi_1(M^0)$ generated by $S_1^{e_1}, \dots, S_N^{e_N}$. Then naturally we obtain the following *reciprocity map*

$$W(D) : \pi_1(M^0)/J(D) \to \mathrm{Aut}^{\otimes}\omega_D.$$

4. Main Theorem

As usual, a Galois covering $\pi : M' \to M$ is called branched at most at D if (1) π is branched at $P_1, \dots, P_N$; and (2) the ramification index e_i' of points over P_i divides e_i for all $i = 1, \dots, N$. Clearly, by changing D, we get all finite Galois coverings of M.

Main Theorem. (1) (Existence and Conductor Theorem) *There is a natural one-to-one correspondence* w_D *between*

$$\{\mathbb{S} : \textit{finitely completed Tannakian subcategory of } \mathcal{M}(M;D)\}$$

and

$$\{\pi : M' \to M : \textit{finite Galois covering branched at most at } D\};$$

(2) (Reciprocity Law) *There is a natural group isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{Aut}^{\otimes}(\omega_D\big|_{\mathbb{S}}) \simeq \mathrm{Gal}\,(w_D(\mathbb{S})).$$

5. Proof

Step 1: Galois Theory. By a result of Bungaard, Nielsen, Fox, M. Kato, and Namba, (see e.g., [Na, Thms 1.2.15 and 1.3.9],) we know that the assignment $(\pi : M' \to M) \mapsto \pi_*(\pi_1(M' \backslash \pi^{-1}\{P_1, \dots, P_N\}))$ gives a one-to-one correspondence between isomorphism classes of finite Galois coverings $\pi : M' \to M$ branched at most at D and finite index (closed) normal subgroups $K = K(\pi)$ of $\pi_1(M^0)$ containing $J(D)$. Moreover, we have a natural isomorphism $\mathrm{Gal}(\pi) \simeq \pi_1(M^0)/K(\pi)\Big(\simeq \big(\pi_1(M^0)/J(D)\big)\Big/\big(K(\pi)/J(D)\big)\Big)$. Thus the problem is transformed to the one for finite index normal subgroups of $\pi_1(M^0)$ which contain $J(D)$, or the same, finite index normal subgroups of $G(D) := \pi_1(M^0)/J(D)$.

Step 2: Tannakian Category Theory: Geometric Side. Consider now the category $\mathbb{T}(D)$ of equivalence classes of unitary representations of $G(D)$. Clearly, $\mathbb{T}(D)$ forms a unique factorization Tannakian category, whose fiber functor $\omega(D)$ may be defined to be the forget functor. Now fixed once for all a representative $\rho_\Sigma : G(D) \to U(r_\Sigma)$ for each equivalence classes $[\Sigma]$. (The choice of the representative will not change the essentials below as the resulting groups are isomorphic to each other.)

Let $\mathbb{S}$ be a finitely completed Tannakian subcategory of $\mathbb{T}(D)$. Then as in the definition of reciprocity map above, we have a natural morphism $G(D) \overset{\omega_{\mathbb{S}}}{\to} \mathrm{Aut}^{\otimes}\omega\Big|_{\mathbb{S}}$. Denote its kernel by $K(\mathbb{S})$. Then, by definition, $G(D)/K(\mathbb{S})$ is a finite group, and $K(\mathbb{S}) = \cap_{[\Sigma]\in\mathbb{S}}\ker\rho_\Sigma$.

Since $\mathbb{S}$ is finitely completed, there exists a finite set $S = \{[\Sigma_1], [\Sigma_2], \dots, [\Sigma_t]\}$ which generates $\mathbb{S}$ as a completed Tannakian subcategory. Set $[\Sigma_0] := \oplus_{i=1}^t[\Sigma_i]$. Then for any $[\Sigma] \in \mathbb{S}$, $\ker(\rho_{\Sigma_0}) \subset \ker(\rho_{[\Sigma]})$, since $\mathbb{S}$ is generated by S. Also, by definition, $\ker(\rho_{\Sigma_0}) = \cap_{i=0}^t\ker(\rho_{\Sigma_i})$. Thus $K(\mathbb{S}) = \ker(\rho_{\Sigma_0})$.

Therefore, for any $[\Sigma] \in \mathbb{S}$, $\rho_\Sigma = \tilde\rho_\Sigma \circ \Pi(D;\mathbb{S})$ where $\Pi(D;\mathbb{S}) : G(D) \to G(D)/K(\mathbb{S})$ denotes the natural quotient map and $\tilde\rho_\Sigma$ is a suitable unitary representation of $G(D)/K(\mathbb{S})$.

Now set $\tilde{\mathbb{S}} := \{[\tilde\rho_\Sigma] : [\Sigma] \in \mathbb{S}\}$. $\tilde{\mathbb{S}}$ is a finitely completed Tannakian subcategory in $\mathcal{U}ni(G(D)/K(\mathbb{S}))$, the category of equivalence classes of unitary representations of $G(D)/K(\mathbb{S})$.

In particular, since the unitary representation $\tilde\rho_{\Sigma_0}$ of $G(D)/K(\mathbb{S})$ maps $G(D)/K(\mathbb{S})$ injectively into its image, for any two elements $g_1, g_2 \in G(D)/K(\mathbb{S})$, $\tilde\rho_{\Sigma_0}(g_1) \neq \tilde\rho_{\Sigma_0}(g_2)$.

With this, by applying the van Kampen Completeness Theorem ([Ka]), which claims that for any compact group G, if Z is a subset of the category $\mathcal{U}ni(G)$ such that for any two elements g_1, g_2, there exists a representation ρ_{g_1,g_2} in Z such that $\rho_{g_1,g_2}(g_1) \neq \rho_{g_1,g_2}(g_2)$, then the completed Tannakian subcategory generated by Z is the whole category $\mathcal{U}ni(G)$ itself, we conclude that $\tilde{\mathbb{S}} = \mathcal{U}ni(G(D)/K(\mathbb{S}))$. But as categories, $\mathbb{S}$ is equivalent to $\tilde{\mathbb{S}}$, thus by the Tannaka duality, (see e.g., [DM] or [Ta]) we obtain a natural isomorphism $\mathrm{Aut}^{\otimes}\omega|_{\mathbb{S}} \simeq G(D)/K(\mathbb{S})$.

On the other hand, if K is a finite index (closed) normal subgroup of $G(D)$. Set $\tilde{\mathbb{S}} := \mathcal{U}ni(G(D)/K)$ with the fiber functor $\omega_{\tilde{\mathbb{S}}}$. Compositing with the natural quotient map $\Pi : G(D) \to G(D)/K$ we then obtain an equivalent category $\mathbb{S}$ consisting of corresponding unitary representations of $G(D)$. $\mathbb{S}$ may also be viewed as a Tannakian subcategory of $\mathcal{U}ni(G(D))$. We next show that indeed such an $\mathbb{S}$ is a finitely completed Tannakian subcategory.

From definition, $\mathrm{Aut}^{\otimes}\omega|_{\mathbb{S}} \simeq \mathrm{Aut}^{\otimes}\omega_{\tilde{\mathbb{S}}}$ which by the Tannaka duality theorem is ismorphic to $G(D)/K$. So it then suffices to show that $\mathbb{S}$ is finitely generated. But this is then a direct consequence of the fact that for any finite group there always exists a unitary representation such that the group is injectively mapped into the unitary group.

Step 3: A Micro Reciprocity Law. With Steps 1 and 2, the proof of the Main Theorem is then completed by the following

Weil-Narasimhan-Seshadri Correspondence (Seshadri, [MS, Thm 4.1]) *There is a natural one-to-one correspondence between isomorphism classes of unitary representations of fundamental groups of M^0 and equivalence classes of semi-stable parabolic bundles over M^0 of parabolic degree zero.*

Indeed, with this theorem, the Seshadri equivalence classes of GA bundles over M along D correspond naturally in one-to-one to the equivalence classes of unitary representations of the group $\pi_1(M^0)/J(D)$. Thus by Step 2, the finitely completed Tannakian subcategories of $\mathcal{M}(M;D)$ are in one-to-one correspond to the finite index closed normal subgroup of $\pi_1(M^0)/J(D)$, which by Step 1 in one-to-one correspond to the finite Galois coverings of M branched at most at D. This gives the existence theorem. Along the same line, we have the reciprocity law as well.

6. An Application to Inverse Galois Problem

As it stands, we may use our main theorem to see whether a finite group can be realized as a Galois group of certain coverings by constructing suitable finitely completed Tannakian subcategory of $\mathcal{M}(M;D)$. In particular, to relate with the so-called Inverse Galois Problem, or better, the Regular Inverse Galois Problem, via a fundamental result of Belyi, we only need to consider the finitely completed Tannakian subcategories of $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{P}^1;\Delta)$, for some effective divisors $\Delta = e_\infty \cdot [\infty] + e_1 \cdot [1] + e_0 \cdot [0]$ supported on $\{\infty, 1, 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}^1$.

An Example. By definition, a collection of objects of a finitely completed Tannakian subcategory in $\mathcal{M}(M;D)$ is called primitive generators if it is a smallest collection which generates the subcategory as an *abelian category.*

Since ramification points are all fixed to be $\{\infty, 1, 0\}$, to simplify the notation, set $\Sigma_{11} := (\mathcal{O}; 0; 0; 0)$; $\Sigma_{12} := (\mathcal{O}(-1); 0; \frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2})$ and $\Sigma_{21} := (\mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1); \frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{3}; \frac{1}{2}, 0; \frac{1}{2}, 0)$. Then we may view Σ_{ij} as parabolic vector bundles over $\mathbb{P}^1$ with parabolic points $\infty, 1$ and 0. That is to say, the bundle part is given by the line bundles or rank two vector bundle over $\mathbb{P}^1$, while the parabolic weights are given by $(0;0;0), (0; \frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2})$ and $(\frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{3}; \frac{1}{2}, 0; \frac{1}{2}, 0)$ at points $(\infty; 1; 0)$. Here for rank two cases, the filtration is choosen to be the one such that $E_P \supset \mathbb{Q}(e_1 + e_2) \supset \{0\}$ with the i-th factor gives $\mathcal{O}(-1)_P = \mathbb{C} \cdot e_i$.

Proposition. *Let $\mathcal{R}(\Sigma_{11}, \Sigma_{12}, \Sigma_{21})$ be the Tannakian subcategory generated by the parabolic vector bundles Σ_{11}, Σ_{12} and Σ_{21}. Then $\mathcal{R}(\Sigma_{11}, \Sigma_{12}, \Sigma_{21})$ is finitely generated with $\{\Sigma_{11}, \Sigma_{12}, \Sigma_{21}\}$ primitive generators. Moreover,*

$$Aut^{\otimes}\omega\big|_{\mathcal{R}(\Sigma_{11},\Sigma_{12},\Sigma_{21})} \simeq S_3.$$

Remarks. (1) The reader should first notice that while $\mathcal{R}$ is generated by Σ_{ij} as *Tannakian subcategory*, $\mathcal{R}$ as an abelian category is already generated by Σ_{ij}.

(2) This proposition, via our non-abelian reciprocity law, reproves a well-known result that S_3 may be realized as a Galois group of a branched covering of $\mathbb{P}^1$ ramified at $\infty, 1, 0$ with remification index $3, 2, 2$ respectively.

Proof. We first need to show that all tensor products could be realized as extensions of Σ_{ij}. For this, we check case by case as follows.

(1) Clearly, $\Sigma_{11} \otimes \Sigma_{ij} = \Sigma_{ij}$;

(2) $\Sigma_{12}\otimes\Sigma_{12}=\Sigma_{11}$. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned}&\Sigma_{12}\otimes\Sigma_{12}\\ &=(\mathcal{O}(-2);0+0;\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2};\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2})=(\mathcal{O}(-2);0;1;1)\\ &=(\mathcal{O}(-2+2\cdot 1);0;1-1;1-1)=(\mathcal{O};0;0;0)\\ &=\Sigma_{11};\end{aligned}$$

(3) $\Sigma_{12}\otimes\Sigma_{21}=\Sigma_{21}$. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned}&\Sigma_{12}\otimes\Sigma_{21}\\ &=(\mathcal{O}(-2)\oplus\mathcal{O}(-2);\frac{2}{3}+0,\frac{1}{3}+0;\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}+0;\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}+0)\\ &=(\mathcal{O}(-2)\oplus\mathcal{O}(-2);\frac{2}{3},\frac{1}{3};1,\frac{1}{2};1,\frac{1}{2})\\ &=(\mathcal{O}(-2+1)\oplus\mathcal{O}(-2+1);\frac{2}{3},\frac{1}{3};\frac{1}{2};0;\frac{1}{2};0)\\ &=\Sigma_{21};\end{aligned}$$

(4) $\Sigma_{21}\otimes\Sigma_{21}=\Sigma_{21}\oplus\Sigma_{12}\oplus\Sigma_{11}$. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned}&\Sigma_{21}\otimes\Sigma_{21}\\ &=(\mathcal{O}(-2)^{\oplus 4};\frac{2}{3}+\frac{2}{3},\frac{2}{3}+\frac{1}{3},\frac{1}{3}+\frac{2}{3},\frac{1}{3}+\frac{1}{3};\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}+0,0+\frac{1}{2},0+0;\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}+0,0+\frac{1}{2},0+0)\\ &=(\mathcal{O}(-2)^{\oplus 4};1+\frac{1}{3},1,1,\frac{2}{3};1,\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},0;1,\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},0)\\ &=(\mathcal{O}(-2+1)^{\oplus 2}\oplus\mathcal{O}(-2+1)\oplus\mathcal{O}(-2+2);1+\frac{1}{3}-1,1-1,1-1,\frac{2}{3};1-1,\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},0;1-1,\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},0)\\ &=(\mathcal{O}(-1)^{\oplus 2}\oplus\mathcal{O}(-1)\oplus\mathcal{O}(0);\frac{2}{3},\frac{1}{3},0,0;\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},0,0;\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},0,0)\\ &=\Sigma_{21}\oplus\Sigma_{12}\oplus\Sigma_{11}.\end{aligned}$$

Now note that the above decompositions for the tensor products are exactly the same as that for the irreducible representations of S_3. So $\mathcal{R}(\Sigma_{11},\Sigma_{12},\Sigma_{21})$ is equivalent to the category of finite dimensional complex representations of S_3. As a direct consequence, we conclude that the structure group $\mathrm{Aut}^{\otimes}\omega|_{\mathcal{R}(\Sigma_{11},\Sigma_{12},\Sigma_{21})}$ is simply S_3.

This example shows that how the approach works. First we use the category of representations of a finite group to find how the tensor products of irreducible representations decomposite. Then we find whether there exist corresponding semi-stable parabolic vector bundles which give an equivalent category. As such, the problem becomes a combinatoric one, since on $\mathbb{P}^1$, all vector bundles are direct sums of $\mathcal{O}(n)$ for $n\in\mathbb{Z}$. Further examples with all dihedral groups may be easily constructed as well, since their irreducible representations are at most of rank 2. We leave this to the reader.

Up to this point, naturally, we may ask to which extend this approach to the Inverse Galois Problem works over number fields K. To answer this, we come back to moduli spaces of parabolic vector bundles constructed by Narasimhan and Seshadri. Unlike existing approaches to this problem, we have enough rational points ready to use: the anti-canonical line bundles of these moduli spaces are (roughly speaking) positive. Therefore. for us, the essential problem becomes whether the categories generated by K-rational parabolic bundles correspond to coverings defined over K.

REFERENCES

[DM] P. Deligne & J.S. Milne, Tannakian categories, in *Hodge Cycles, Motives and Shimura Varieties*, LNM **900**, (1982), 101-228

[Ka] E. van Kampen, Almost periodic functions and compact groups, Ann. of Math. **37** (1936), 78-91

[MS] V.B. Mehta & C.S. Seshadri, Moduli of vector bundles on curves with parabolic structures. Math. Ann. **248** (1980), no. 3, 205–239.

[MFK] D. Mumford, J. Fogarty & F. Kirwan, *Geometric Invariant Theory*, Springer-Verlag, 1994

[Na] M. Namba, *Branched coverings and algebraic functions.* Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics Series **161**, Longman Scientific & Technical, 1987

[NS] M.S. Narasimhan & C.S. Seshadri, Stable and unitary vector bundles on a compact Riemann surface. Ann. of Math. **82** (1965), 540-567

[Se1] C.S. Seshadri, Moduli of π-vector bundles over an algebraic curve. *Questions on Algebraic Varieties*, C.I.M.E., III, (1969) 139–260

[Se2] C. S. Seshadri, *Fibrés vectoriels sur les courbes algébriques*, Asterisque **96**, 1982

[Ta] T. Tannaka, *Theory of topological groups*, Iwanami, 1949 (in Japanese)

[W] A. Weil, Généralisation des fonctions abéliennes, J. Math Pures et Appl, **17**, (1938) 47-87

[We] L. Weng, A Program for Gromatric Arithmetic, at math.AG/011240